A Review on Metformin with Emphasis on its Inhibiting Effects on Cancer

1.	Introduction 2			
2.	General outcomes of metformin use	3		
2	a. Different tissues	3		
k	b. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity	4		
C	c. Carcinogenesis caused by hyperinsulaemia, bioavailble IGF-I and IGF-II and sex hormo	nes 4		
C	d. Metformin's cancer suppressing effects	5		
3.	Molecular pathways and inhibitory effects of metformin	6		
4.	AMPK dependent pathways			
	I. AMPK activation	6		
	II. Inhibition of the mTORC1 pathway	7		
	III. Inhibition of other Ras pathways	9		
	IV. Inhibition of other AKT pathways	9		
	V. Inhibition of mTORC1 effectors	10		
	VI. Inhibition of the mTORC2 pathways	11		
	VII. Inhibition of cell cycle pathways	11		
	VIII. Inhibition of fatty acid synthesis	12		
	IX. Inhibition of protein synthesis independently of mTOR	12		
5.	AMPK-independent pathways	12		
	I. RAG GTPases	12		
	II. AMPK inhibition studies	13		
	III. In vivo studies	13		
	IV. AMPK- and LKB1-independent hypoglycemic effects	13		
	V. AMPK-independent HIF-1a suppression	13		
	VI. Solid tumours	13		
	VII. Other Effects	14		
6.	Antioxidant actions	14		
7.	Inhibition of inflammation 1			
8.	Immune cell activation 16			
9.	Effects on longevity and telomerase16			
10.	Inhibition of Cancer stages 16			

а.	Initiation	17
b.	Tumorigenesis	17
C.	Angiogenesis	17
d.	Invasion	17
i.	Proteolysis	17
ii.	Migration	18
iii.	Stem cells	18
iv.	Epithelial - mesenchymal transition (EMT) and Metastasis	20
V.	The "Warburg Effect"	20
11.	Clinical Application	21
a.	Different types of cancer	21
b.	Inhibition of multi -drug resistance	22
C.	Response to Metformin	22
d.	Aneuploidy/genetics/epigenetics	23
e.	Comparison with other drugs	23
f.	Dose needed for cancer suppression	24
g.	Resistance to metformin and biomarkers for its efficacy	25
h.	Application in non-diabetic subjects	26
i.	Adjuvant effects	26
j.	Side and other effects	26
12.	Future	27
a.	In vitro and in vivo work	27
b.	Planned trials	27
C.	Trials on healthy individuals	27
13.	Conclusion	27

2

1. Introduction

The anti-diabetic biguanide metformin (N,N-dimethylbiguanide hydrochloride) has pleiotropic properties ¹⁻³. Importantly, cancer suppression is increasingly being recognized as one of these properties ⁴⁻⁹. These properties tend to be mainly AMPK (5'-adenosine monophosphate activated protein kinase) related but can be unrelated^{7;10-14}. Most studies report that the majority of effects are achieved through inhibition of complex 1 of the electron transfer chain^{13;15;16} hence increasing the AMP:ATP ratio. Energy depletion is detected by AMPK^{13;17;18} which inhibits gluconeogenesis, lipogenesis, cellular proliferation and stimulates

uptake of glucose by muscle by inhibition of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)^{19;20}. mTOR is a protein kinase which can bind to either of two substrates, one includes Raptor, making it rapamycin sensitive (mTORC1 - mTOR complex 1), the other includes Rictor, making it rapamycin insensitive (mTORC2 - mTOR complex 2)¹² (Fig 1).

Metformin use has the dual outcomes of firstly, reducing insulin resistance, increasing glucose sensitivity hence reducing diabetic morbidity and mortality^{21;22} while secondly and potentially more importantly suppressing tumourigenesis^{7;9}. Other biguanides have been used such as phenformin (N-phenylethylbiguanide) but exhibit side effects such as a high risk of lactic acidosis which obviate use^{23;24}.

Type 2 diabetes incidence is increasing worldwide²⁵ because of lifestyle and an aging population as age increases risk²⁶. Hence, diabetes-related cancers are also increasing in frequency. In Europe 55.4 million people have diabetes with a yearly cancer incidence of 3.2 million and in the US similar data are 23.6 million and 1.4 million²⁷. However, the etiology of these cancers is uncertain because obesity usually accompanies type 2 diabetes along with other factors which cause cancer^{27;28}. Nevertheless, metformin's pleiotrophic mechanisms mean that the etiology of these cancers is relatively unimportant.

Possible AMPK-unrelated effects of metformin include the inhibition of RAG-GTPases (Ras-related GTPases) which thus inhibit mTOR¹². Furthermore, metformin activates the tumour suppressor LKB1 (serine–threonine kinase - liver kinase B1) directly or indirectly. Directly, metformin may activate LKB1 which then activates downstream AMPK²⁹ or indirectly through metformin's activation of AMPK, when LKB1 is needed for AMPK activity³⁰ except in certain cells when AMPK is activated by cytosolic Ca²⁺ increase, hence calmodulin-dependent kinase kinase-ß (CaMKKß) which phosphorylizes the AMPK a subunit like LKB1 and can act without³¹ or synergistically with AMP³². Other tumour suppressors activated by AMPK through metformin include tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (harmartin) and tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (tuberin) (TSC 1 & 2)³³.

Inflammation has been purported to lead to carcinogenesis^{34;35}. Inflammation is inhibited by metformin's actions on the mTOR pathway, consequently leading to the inhibition of nuclear factor–kappa B (NF-kB) and Interleukin 6 (IL-6) through feedback loops^{36;37}.

Observational studies have shown metformin use to reduce the risk of several different cancer types but studies are varied in method and standard and often other medications are involved^{38;39}. Adjuvant trials are ongoing but *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have shown that metformin may have effects at all stages of cancer development from initiation^{40;41} to metastasis⁴². Hence, metformin's effects on healthy subjects need to be addressed.

This review aims to examine the evidence for metformin's cancer suppressing efficacy, the mechanisms by which it acts, the levels at which it works and whether metformin should be administered as a cancer preventive medication⁴³.

2. General outcomes of metformin use

a. Different tissues

Metformin acts through AMPK by increasing energy creating mechanisms and decreasing energy consuming effects, which result in reducing blood glucose and insulin levels. This has various effects in different tissues^{22;44}. Metformin's actions through AMPK suppress hepatic gluconeogenesis and lipogenesis but induce glucose uptake, glycolysis and fatty acid oxidation. In adipose tissue metformin similarly suppresses lipogenesis but also prevents insulin resistance, lipotoxicity, glucotoxicity and

inflammation and stimulates glucose uptake, fatty acid oxidation and insulin sensitization. The drug has similar effects in muscle cells but its effects on insulin resistance and insulin sensitization are more important as muscle cells account for more than 80% of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake⁴⁴.

b. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is characterized by hyperglycaemia due to glucose intolerance and hyperinsulaemia because of insulin resistance. This differs from Type 1 diabetes mellitus where hyperglycaemia is caused by failure of the pancreatic Islets of Langerhans ß cells to secrete insulin, primarily because of autoimmune breakdown and where exogenous insulin must be taken. Type 2 diabetes does not usually require exogenous insulin but either control through diet or by taking anti-glycaemic medications such as metformin. Type 1 diabetes mellitus is relatively low in frequency compared with Type 2 which constitutes over 90% of cases worldwide²⁵.

Insulin resistance in Type 2 diabetes is caused by a lack of tissue responsiveness to insulin possibly because of several purported causes: hyperlipidaemia particularly concerning diacylglycerol which triggers novel protein kinases C causing impaired insulin signaling⁴⁵ and/or insufficient insulin binding in muscle cells⁴⁶; inflammation caused by NF-kB activation and cytokine production^{47;48}; regulation of DGAT1 (diacylglycerol (DAG) acyltransferase) which controls triglyceride synthesis^{49;50}; baseline leptin levels^{51;52}; mitochondrial dysfunction⁵³ and/or faulty GRK2 (G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2) modulation of insulin responses^{54;55}. Anfosso *et al.* (1993)⁵⁶ showed that insulin receptor numbers were reduced in human hepatoma cell line Hep G2 when exposed to high concentrations of insulin. Outcomes are insufficient glucose elimination and increased hepatic gluconeogenesis resulting in increased glucose levels²². Compensatory insulin secretion (hyperinsulaemia) results in ß cell insufficiency because of destruction or exhaustion of these cells, hence hyperglycaemia. Resulting microvascular complications include retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy and increased risk of cardiovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease and cerebrovascular disease²².

c. Carcinogenesis caused by hyperinsulaemia, bioavailble IGF-I and IGF-II and sex hormones

Chronically high insulin levels and diabetes are associated with colorectal, endometrial, pancreatic, liver, postmenopausal breast and bladder cancers and non -Hodgkin Lymphoma (NHL)^{27;57}. Raised insulin levels also cause inhibition of hepatic synthesis and circulating levels of sex -hormone binding globulin (SHBG)⁵⁸. This increases the levels of bioavailable oestrodiol in males and females⁵⁹ and testosterone, which increase the risk of sex-hormone related cancers such as that of the breast and endometrium⁶⁰. In females it also increases bioavailable testosterone but in males leads to reductions in total-testicular testosterone production. In the severely obese, reduced SHBG levels cause static or even a reduction in bioavailable testosterone⁵⁹. Increased insulin levels can increase ovarian and adrenal androgen synthesis leading to premenopausal polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) in the genetically susceptible⁵⁹.

Adiposity accompanies type 2 diabetes which affects the synthesis and bioavailability of endogenous sex hormones (oestrogens, androgens and progesterone) by adipose-tissue secretion of sex-steroid metabolising enzymes (aromatase and 17ß-hydoxysteroid dehydrogenase [17ß-HSD]) that promote sex hormone synthesis from precursors secreted by the gonads or adrenal glands^{61;62}. Moreover, BMI has been shown to be directly related to oestrone and oestrodiol circulating levels^{59;63}. Aromatase is upregulated by cortisol, insulin and increased oestradiol and leptin concentrations which further upregulate aromatase through cyclic amplification⁶⁴.

Evidence indicates that these adipose-related sex-hormone bioavailability alterations promote the development of several cancers including postmenopausal breast cancer and endometrial cancer^{65;66}

and also possibly prostate cancer⁶⁶. Female gallbladder cancer has also been partially attributed to adipose-related oestrogen increases⁶⁷. A combination of adipose-related sex-hormones may act synergistically in carcinogenesis⁶⁶ however, although prostate cancer induction is purported to be related to androgen metabolism, the association with excess adiposity is disputed⁶¹.

Increased insulin levels lead to increased levels of free Insulin-like Growth Factor 1 (IGF- I) via different mechanisms, for instance insulin displaces IGF- I from Insulin-like Growth Factor 1 binding protein (IGFBP- I) thus decreasing binding protein levels and increasing IGF-1 bioavailability⁶⁸; stimulation of IGF- I and IGF- II release through hepatic hormone upregulation^{69;70} and suppression of IGFBP levels⁷¹. IGF- I binds to the receptor IGF- IR with high affinity and to the insulin receptor (IR) with low affinity and *vice versa* for insulin in breast cancer cells^{72;73}. Hybrid receptors (or heterodimers) also bind both IGF- I and insulin⁷³ and the ratio between homo and heterodimers may contribute to insulin resistance^{73;74}.

The increase in free IGF-I leads to various cancers *in vitro, in vivo* and in humans through various pathways via its receptor (IGF-1R) (Fig 1) (see below), such as colorectal^{57;75;76} breast⁷²; prostate⁷⁵ and endometrial cancer⁷⁷. IGF-II binds to IGF-IR and also the IR-A isoform of the insulin receptor with high affinity to promote mitosis in foetal cells and, importantly, in cancer cells⁷⁸. The IR-B isoform is mainly expressed in differentiated insulin target cells⁷⁸. The *IGF2* gene is imprinted and loss of imprinting leads to proliferation and diseases such as Wilm's tumour, colon cancer⁷⁹ hepatocellular carcinoma^{80;81} and breast cancer⁸¹ due to increased levels of free IGF-II which then bind to IGF-IR⁸².

d. Metformin's cancer suppressing effects

Metformin lowers insulin levels by its activation of AMPK which inhibits translocation of mTORC2 (also known as CRTC2 [CREB regulated transcription coactivator 2]) to the nucleus therefore inhibiting transcription of gluconeogenesis genes by CREB (cAMP-response element binding protein)^{20;21} (Fig 1) (see below). This anti-gluconeogenic action happens in both type 2 diabetic patients and hyperinsulaemic non-diabetic patients leading to anti-proliferative effects⁸³. Lowering of insulin levels by metformin is achieved through increasing insulin sensitivity and also decreasing insulin secretion. Metformin decreases insulin secretion from the ß-cells of the Pancreatic islets via AMPK action^{77;84} moreover. autophagy in ß-cells, which contributes to T2D, has also been shown to be ameliorated by metformin⁸⁵. Insulin sensitivity is improved in human hepatoma cell line (Hep G2) by metformin possibly by several post-receptor mechanisms including restoring receptor substrate tyrosine kinase activity, enhancing glucose transport⁵⁶ and induction of insulin-regulatable glucose transporter (GLUT-4) translocation in rat soleus muscle⁸⁶ and human skeletal muscle and adipose cells involving AMPK, serine/threonine protein kinase (AKT) and Protein kinase C, zeta (PKCzeta)⁸⁷. AMPK-mediated downregulation of the tumour suppressor PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10) which negatively regulates insulin sensitivity was thought to be the mechanism involved in metformin's insulin lowering and sensitizing in preadipocyte 3T3-L1 cells⁸⁸.

Uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2) downregulates oxidative phosphorylation in response to oxidative stress⁸⁹. There is evidence that UCP2 also regulates insulin secretion as UCP2 deficient mice become hypoglycaemic because of increased insulin secretion⁹⁰ whereas insulin levels are low when UCP2 is overexpressed⁹¹ therefore dysregulation of UCP2 levels may lead to ß cell dysfunction and type 2 diabetes development⁹². Metformin's suppression of complex 1 of the electron transfer chain causes increases in UCP2 levels in adipocytes⁸⁹ thus this may be a mechanism for metformin's actions on insulin secretion.

A high fat diet is an important factor leading to hyperglycaemia and type 2 diabetes^{47;93}. Fatty acid oxidation is reduced and lipid accumulates in skeletal muscle of obese individuals⁹⁴ also in aging mice²⁶.

This was attributed to reduced muscle mitochondrial content possibly because of loss of transcription factor regulation of mitochondrial biogenesis in obese individuals^{94;95}. However, metformin was found to reduce fatty acid transport by the FA transporter (FAT/CD36), ceramide and diacylglycerol (DAG) levels and therefore hyperglycaemia was decreased in Zucker rat skeletal muscle⁹⁶. Metformin also Increased PGC-1a (Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 a) levels and mitochondrial biogenesis possibly through AMPK activation in rat skeletal muscle⁹⁷. Metabolic defects in the AMPK pathway due to lipid oversupply was found in rat liver⁹⁸. Metformin may be expected to ameliorate this problem.

IGF-I and II levels are lowered through metformin's ability to lower insulin levels and its effects on binding proteins²². This is further facilitated by metformin's activation of AMPK which leads to phosphorylation of the inhibitory site Ser789 of IRS -1⁹⁹ (Fig 1). Metformin's action on insulin levels also lowers sex hormone levels because of restoration of normal SHBG production in the ovary and liver. Sex-hormone levels are further lowered by metformin's effect on weight and waist-to-hip ratio¹⁰⁰ because lowered adiposity also inhibits aromatase production. Metformin may also reduce aromatase levels in breast tissue therefore reducing oestrogen levels locally through its activation of AMPK and inhibition of TORC2 which is known to increase aromatase expression^{101;102}. This may decrease the risk of post-menopausal breast cancer.

3. Molecular pathways and inhibitory effects of metformin

The pleiotropic effects of metformin indicate that many metabolic pathways are affected by its actions. The cell's metabolism is inherently complex where there is constant change and movement, fluctuation, associations and dissociations, passage in and out of the nucleus, positive and negative feedback loops and mechanisms etc. thus any written text or diagrams are insufficient to convey this complexity. The relative importance and certainty surrounding different pathways and processes related by different studies are difficult to determine and some pathways are better researched than others. Moreover, it is difficult to compare *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies because of different responses¹⁰³. Nevertheless, the text and diagrams will attempt to convey metformin's actions and effects on major pathways within all this complexity and uncertainty.

4. AMPK dependent pathways

I. AMPK activation

AMPK regulates key specific metabolic processes in different tissues, for example, liver, muscle and adipose tissue¹⁰⁴ (see above). AMPK pathways contain several tumour suppressor genes and protooncogenes³³ making them important therapeutic targets (Fig 1). Mutations in proto-oncogenes are activating mutations and those in tumour suppressor genes are inactivating mutations.

Most studies have found that metformin activates AMPK by increasing the AMP:ATP ratio through inhibiting oxidative phosphorylation^{13;89;105;106}. The drug mildly inhibits the electron transfer chain at Complex 1^{89;105;106} while normalizing H₂O₂ (a product of superoxide, a reactive oxygen species [ROS]) emission by blocking reverse election flux¹⁰⁷ and without affecting forward electron flow and skeletal muscle respiration in obese Zucker rats¹⁰⁷. However, some studies postulate that AMPK is activated by metformin without altering the AMP:ATP ratio^{29;108;109}. This was tested in isolated rat hearts and it was found that AMPK acted as a cellular energy sensor and always reacted to increased cytosolic AMP levels (due to metformin and phenformin application) but not total AMP or AMP:ATP ratio¹¹⁰. AMPK reacts by activating energy producing processes and reducing proliferative processes^{3;13;21;110} (Fig 1).

AMPK is activated upstream by LKB1 (also known as STK11 [Serine/threonine-protein kinase 11]) and also mediates the activity of LKB1^{30;111}. Metformin may activate LKB1 itself through steps involving the generation of the superoxide anion and reactive nitrogen species which activate c-Src (a protooncogene), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), Phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1 (PDK1) and Protein kinase C, zeta (PKCzeta) which then phosphorylates LKB1 which activates downstream AMPK^{29;112;113} (Fig 1). Metformin may also activate LKB1 indirectly through its activation of AMPK, when LKB1 is required for AMPK activity. LKB1 is located in the nucleus and when phosphorylated translocates into the cytosol where it phosphorylates AMPK²⁹.

Metformin's direct or indirect activation of LKB1 may additionally activate its tumor suppressive effects. LKB1 is a master kinase, which, besides phosphorylating AMPK, also phosphorylates 12 related kinases involved in cell growth, metabolism and polarity^{104;112}. Mutation of the *LKB1* gene leads to Peutz-Jeghers syndrome which is an autosomal inherited dominant condition in which patients are LKB1 deficient and are at risk of developing several cancer types¹¹¹ and may be the result of the failure to activate AMPK¹¹⁴. However, mutations of the LKB1 gene have been found in lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinomas¹¹⁵ in people without Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Deficiency of *LKB1* was found to be more important in the initiation, differentiation and metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma than mutations of other suppressor genes in mouse models¹¹⁵. Other cancers that may be caused by mutations of *LKB1* are head and neck cancer¹¹⁶, pancreatic and other cancers probably at a low level¹¹⁷. *LKB1* mutation promotes and progresses 20% of cervical cancers in combination with the Human Papilloma Virus (HPV)¹¹⁸. The cancer suppressing effects of wild-type LKB1 on these cancers are stimulated by metformin's actions. The antitumour effect of LKB1 was found to be further enhanced in HeLa cells by WDR6 (WD repeat protein 6) which promotes cell cycle arrest synergistically in association with LKB1¹¹⁹ (Fig 1). LKB1 and AMPK have conserved roles in cell polarity which when disrupted is implicated in carcinogenesis. This may not apply to all tissues as *LKB1* deletion did not affect polarity or organization in every tissue in mice.¹¹⁷

Ouyang *et al.* (2010) have suggested a novel mechanism by which metformin may activate AMPK using isolated skeletal L6 cells. Rather than the AMP:ATP ratio being increased because of inhibition of oxidative phosphorylation by metformin, AMP levels are increased by metformin's attenuation of AMP deaminase (AMPD) which normally breaks down AMP¹²⁰ (Fig 1).

II. Inhibition of the mTORC1 pathway

Insulin, IGF-I and IGF-II bind to IR/IGFR which then bind to IRS-1 (insulin receptor substrate 1) which subsequently transmits signals to Ras (small GTPase) and PI3K (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase) along the pathway to mTORC1 (Fig 1). Mutations in the Ras proto-oncogene subfamily (K-Ras, H-ras and N-Ras) are implicated in most human tumours³³ and the *PI3CA* oncogene encodes the catalytic subunit 110a of PI3K and is frequently mutated in solid human tumours¹²¹. Besides PI3K other downstream effectors of Ras share an area of homology called the Ras binding domain (RBD)³³ see below (Fig 2). The PI3K pathway is a well documented oncogenic pathway and mutations in the pathway are implicated in up to 30% of human cancers¹²².

A feedback loop exists (shown in Figure 1 in red) whereby mTORC1 and ribosomal protein S6 activation leads to inhibition of IRS1 at Ser⁶³⁹ thus inhibiting downstream effectors including AKT^{99;123}. Activation of AMPK by metformin inhibits this feedback loop, however, this is compensated for by the inhibition of IRS-1 by the phosphorylation of the inhibitory site at Ser⁷⁸⁹ which limits downstream signalling⁹⁹. Activation of TSC1 & 2 leads to the inhibition of RHEB (Ras homologue enriched in brain – GTPase) and mTORC1 signalling⁹⁹ (Fig 1)

The tumour suppressors NF1 (neurofibromin 1) and PTEN (Fig 1) are often mutated in human cancers^{124;125}. Metformin's activation of AMPK prevents tumourigenesis in a mouse model due to loss of PTEN¹²⁴ and therefore possibly in humans. This may also be the case for NF1 loss as an *in vitro* study has shown using rapamycin, a mTORC1 inhibitor¹²⁵.

Caveolins are proteins necessary for the formation of Caveolae which are plasma membrane invaginations (50-100nm) that regulate signal transduction¹²⁶ and lipid transport¹²⁷. Caveolin-1 (*Cav-1*) can act as a tumour suppressor or promoter depending upon the cell type^{126;128} (Fig 1). For instance, it acts as a tumour promoter in urothelial bladder cells and prostate cells¹²⁶ and as a tumour suppressor in the ovary¹²⁶ and in breast tissue¹²⁹ where16-20% of breast tumours display mutations¹²⁸. Cav-1 acts as an mTORC1 pathway controller although it is uncertain which part of the pathway it activates, possibly PI3K. Loss of *Cav-1* promoted activation of PI3K/AKT pathway in oestrogen positive breast tumours^{130;131} but it activated the AKT/mTOR pathway to promote disease progression and metastasis in human renal cell carcinoma (RCC)¹³².

Importantly, Cav-1 has been shown to act as a promoter of androgen insensitive prostate cancer in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies^{133;134}. This happens by inhibition of PP1 and PP2A phosphatases which leads to increased PDK1, AKT and Erk1/2 activity and cell survival¹³³ and increased *Cav-1* expression is correlated with ability to form tumours in a mouse model¹³⁴.

The contrasting activities of Cav-1 may be because different peptide domains have different functions. Phosphorylation at Tyr14 and Ser80 and P132L mutations cause a change in topography by converting it from a membrane protein to a secret ory product which removes its suppressor activities and also gives it autocrine/paracrine tumour promoting properties¹²⁶. There is evidence that there are two opposing roles for Cav-1 in different cancer phases: the suppressing effects of Cav-1 may be lost and upregulation may an acquired feature during metastasis¹²⁶ where stromal carcinoma-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), stretch due to restructuring mediated by Cav-1 which allows invasion¹³⁵. Conversely, Cav-1 expression was shown to reduce EMT characteristics and promote pancreatic cancer cell differentiation by restoring E-cadherin¹³⁶.

NF-1 binds to Cav-1 scaffolding domain to regulate cell growth and differentiation through Ras, FAK (focal adhesion kinase) and AKT pathways¹³⁷. PDK1 (3-Phosphoinositide dependent protein kinase 1) the next downstream effecter, is a master kinase and also a nuclear translocator¹³⁸. It phosphorylates AKT (serine/threonine protein kinase) also known as Protein Kinase B (PKB), which phosphorylates GSK-3 (glycogen synthase kinase-3) and many other substrates that control cell growth, metabolism, cell cycle and survival³³ some of which are shown in Fig 3.

AKT activates MDM2 (murine double minute protein) which is a negative regulator of the tumour suppressor p53¹³⁹ (Fig 1). AKT itself is also activated by mTORC2 and requires this phosphorylation to be fully activated¹² (Fig 1). However, both mTORC1 and mTORC2²⁰ are inhibited by metformin induced AMPK actions (Fig 1).

GSK-3 controls cell cycle through phosphorylation of cell cycle regulators such as Cyclin D1 (Fig 1). It also phosphorylates transcription factors such as SREBP1 (Sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1)³³ (Fig 1). Usually phosphorylation by GSK-3 results in impaired function so that deactivation by AKT means enhanced transcription³³. Therefore, metformin's actions on AMPK and thus AKT inhibition result in GSK-3 activation and inhibition of transcription leading to cell cycle arrest and fatty acid oxidation (Fig 1).

GSK-3 also phosphorylates TSC 2 in a manner dependent on AMPK priming phosphorylation¹⁴⁰ (Fig 1). The TSC2 subunit of the TSC 2 & 1 complex is activated by AMPK via metformin¹⁴¹. This improves TSC complex stability thus TSC inhibition of mTORC1 through TSC 2 inhibition of RHEB. This reduces GLUT1 glucose transporter levels and glucose uptake¹⁴².

AMPK activation was also found to inhibit mTORC1 directly by phosphorylating Raptor (Fig 1) at two serine sites in MEFs (mouse embryonic fibroblasts)¹⁴³. The relative input of TSC2 and Raptor in inhibiting mTORC1 will be anticipated to vary in different tissues and will depend on the expression of these proteins in different tissues and crosstalk between the various pathways involved¹⁴⁴.

AMPK is a metabolic control point where inhibition of TSC2 by AKT is balanced by activation of TSC2 and inhibition of Raptor (thus mTORC1) by AMPK involving various pathways and crosstalk with energy requirement and use being central to the process. Metformin intervention can be used to balance this process towards energy requirement.

III. Inhibition of other Ras pathways

There are several activating and inactivating mutations in these pathways (Fig 2). Ras (itself an oncogene) activates the Raf pathway which via MEK activates ERK. ERK phosphorylates many cell growth and cell cycle mediators and also RSK also known as P70 S6K (ribosome protein S6 kinase) which contribute to cell growth and proliferation. The Ras/MEK/ERK pathway promotes transcription of VEGF (vascular epidermal growth factor) via phosphorylation of transcription factors Sp-1, HIF-1a (hypoxia-inducible factor – 1a) and possibly activator protein-1 (AP-1)¹⁴⁵. When PI3K was inhibited by Wortmannin, endothelin-1 (ET-1)-induced ERK2 activation was attenuated in human ovarian carcinoma cells¹⁴⁶. Metformin, through inhibition of the mTOR pathway, may be projected to act similarly (Fig 2 and Fig 4).

Other RBDs are shown on Figure 2. Although the only demonstrated effectors that are activated in human cancers are Raf and PI3K, lack of RalGDS (Ral guanine nucleotide dissociation stimulator), PLC-e (phospholipase C family member) or Tiam1 (T-cell lymphoma invasion and metastasis 1) in mice reduced tumour incidence in Ras dependent tumourogenesis models³³. RASSf1 (Ras association domain - containing protein 1) interacts directly and indirectly with Ras although its functions in mediating its effects are unclear.¹⁴⁷ Some studies have postulated that it may be a tumour suppressor¹⁴⁸.

Rho GTPases are involved in many processes so that signal aberrations are implicated in various aspects of cancer¹⁴⁹. During cancer initiation, Rho GTPase may inhibit apoptosis so imposing cell longevity, abnormal growth and loss of polarity. Alteration of adhesion proteins may cause invasion and ability to metastasize¹⁴⁹. The actions of metformin may reduce the activation of these critical effectors (Fig 2).

IV. Inhibition of other AKT pathways

AKT inhibits apoptosis in several ways by phosphorylating the apoptotic BAD (k Bcl-2-associated death promoter)^{150;151} and inactivating caspases and FOXOs (Forkhead family of transcription factors) which effect apoptosis¹⁵². Figure 3 shows how metformin may inhibit AKT effectors.

Metformin has been shown to reduce vascular inflammatory effects by inhibiting NF-kB via the inhibition of the PI3K–AKT pathway³⁶. Metformin inhibited NF-kB nuclear translocation and IkB degradation (Fig 3) in vascular smooth muscle cells³⁶. *In vivo*, metformin has been shown to inhibit IkB phosphorylation and NF-kB activation in the aortic vessel wall and decrease high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) level in rabbit serum¹⁵³.

Lipid accumulation in the livers of mice fed on a high-fat diet leads to sub-acute hepatic inflammation through NF-kB activation and cytokine production leading to hepatic and systemic insulin resistance⁴⁷. Mechanisms may entail a positive feedback loop involving NF-kB and IL-6 (Fig 3). Mediation of NF-kB via Src oncoprotein activation in breast cells results in a positive feedback loop involving the transcription of Lin-28 (microRNA inhibitor processing factor) which inhibits Let-7 microRNA epigenetic modifier (which usually prevents IL-6 effects)³⁷. Thus IL-6 levels rise which increase STAT3 (transcription 3 transcription factor) which activates microRNAs miR-21a and miR181b-1¹⁵⁴. These inhibit PTEN and CYLD tumour suppressors thus increasing NF-kB activity¹⁵⁴. The outcome is malignant transformation, self-renewal of cancer initiating cells (cancer stem cells) and increases in cell motility and cancer cell growth³⁷. Activation of II-6 also increases NF-kB levels which forms the positive feedback loop³⁷. IL-6 increases inflammation⁴⁷ (see below). A concurrent slower feedback mechanism involves the activation of Ras through deactivation of Let-7 which again activates NF-kB³⁷. Metformin may eliminate these feedback loops by reversing all the processes involved (Fig 3) and recent supporting evidence suggests that reduced Let-7 levels ¹⁵⁵. NF-kB transcribes cytokine-induced inflammatory genes such as GRO?, COX2 and VEGF³⁷ and adhesion molecule genes¹⁵⁶ which are again inhibited by metformin via AMPK¹⁵⁶ (Fig 3).

Activation of NF-kB and STAT3 was found to depend on upstream signaling via PI3K and AKT but not mTOR in the development of Myc^{Eµ} B-cell lymphomas in mice¹⁵⁷. Anomalous activity has been revealed in these pathways for various types of B-cell neoplasms¹⁵⁷. Moreover, the PI3K/AKT pathway, activated by TNF or IL-1, was not found to activate NF-kB in human endothelial cells despite inhibiting apoptosis and only had a minor role in the pro-inflammatory responses due to this activation¹⁵⁸.

Increased AKT activity leads to increased nitric oxide (NO) production via endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) but is inhibited by metformin (Fig 3). High insulin production impairs the PI3K-AKT-NO signaling pathway leading to the detrimental vascular effects of hyperinsulaemia.¹⁵⁹ This pathway controls many complex effects of NO on vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC) including VSMC relaxation, capillary recruitment and inhibition of adhesion molecule expression¹⁵⁹.

V. Inhibition of mTORC1 effectors

mTORC1 phosphorylates its substrate P70 S6K via the master kinase PDK1 (Fig 4). P70 S6K regulates the multiple phosphorylation of 40S ribosomal protein S6 *in vivo*¹⁶⁰. This has been shown to be inhibited by rapamycin^{161;162} (an mTORC inhibitor) which prevents the cell progressing through the G1 phase of the cell cycle¹⁶¹ (Fig 4). As metformin was shown to inhibit P70 S6K in Tamoxifen resistant, oestrogen deprived, MCF-7 breast cancer cells¹⁶³ and epithelial ovarian cancer cells⁹ metformin would be expected to act similarly to rapamycin. However, metformin suppressed HER2 oncoprotein overexpression by attenuating P70 S6K activity directly in a AMPK-independent manner in breast cancer cells¹⁶⁴.

Metformin's actions possibly reduce mTORC1 phosphorylation of 4EBP1 (Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E binding protein-1) which heterodimerizes and thus inactivates the cap-binding protein EiF4E (Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E)^{165;166}. This negates its binding to the cap-structure of mRNA and therefore protein translation¹⁶⁵ (Fig 4).

Insulin and IGF-1 promote angiogenesis e.g. in diabetic retinopathy and neovascularisation during hypoxia by stimulating HIFa and VEGF through the PI3K/mTOR pathways¹⁶⁷. Metformin was shown to inhibit HIFa expression induced by insulin and IGF-1 through the mTOR pathway in retinal epithelial ARPE-19 cells via AMPK¹⁶⁷ (Fig1 and Fig 4) and also through decreased ATP production and oxygen consumption in FDF rat kidney tubules and human renal proximal tubular epithelial cells (HRPTECs)¹⁶⁸.

In melanoma cells it was found that ET-1, by activating its GPCR (G protein coupled receptor), provokes tumourogenesis and progression through activation of the PI3K/TORC1 pathway¹⁶⁹ (Fig 1). This causes the inhibition of PHD2 (HIF-prolyl hydroxylase domain -2) thus promotion of HIF-1a stability, neovasularisation and tumour cell division¹⁶⁹. Similarly, DNA replication induced by ET-1 and transactivation of the EGF receptor in colorectal cancer cells was shown to be inhibited by pertussis-toxin sensitive G protein, PI3K and PKC antagonists¹⁷⁰. Metformin, via AMPK, has been found to disrupt crosstalk between IR/IGFR and GPCR in pancreatic cancer cells¹⁹, and would be predicted to inhibit ET-1 -induced colorectal cancer (Fig 4).

VI. Inhibition of the mTORC2 pathways

There appears to be fewer studies devoted to mTORC2 than mTORC1 pathways. However, the complex is an important part of metformin's anti-cancer effects and is part of the network of pathways which link the complexes (Fig 1). For instance, mTORC2 was thought to be rapamycin insensitive¹⁷¹ nevertheless, although rapamycin cannot bind to mTORC2 directly, prolonged use disrupts mTORC2 assembly in 20% of cancer cell lines and therefore strongly inhibits AKT signaling¹⁶⁵ thus impeding mTORC1 signaling in a feedback loop which exists for metformin's actions via AMPK (Fig 1) which may also have similar effects on mTORC2. Moreover, a trial involving Everolimus, an mTOR inhibitor, indicated inhibition of both complexes in early breast cancer patients¹²³.

Besides metformin's indirect effect on cancer risk through AMPK's phosphorylation of mTORC2 thus preventing the transcription of gluconeogenesis genes²⁰ (see above) (Fig 1), there are more direct effects which affect cell morphology and motility. Control of the cytoskeleton possibly involves mTORC2 modulation of actin polymerization, as inhibition of any component of the complex causes similar alteration to cell morphology and adhesion¹⁷². Modulation involves PKCa (a master kinase) which has various functions depending on cell type and is also involved in cytoskeleton organization¹⁷³. The small GTPases (Rho, Rac and CDC42) (Fig 1) are also involved, which suppress actin insufficiency caused by downregulation of mTORC2¹⁷¹. Downstream of PKCa, Rac1 modulates lamellipodia formation and migration and RhoA has been shown to activate transcription factor (AP-1) in T cells¹⁷³.

VII. Inhibition of cell cycle pathways

Metformin's postulated cell cycle inhibitory effects take place via several cell cycle pathways including those downstream from AMPK; LKB1 synergistic activation with WDR6¹¹⁹; mTORC1; and AKT effects on MDM2 and GSK -3¹⁷⁴ pathways (Fig 1).

Metformin activates AMPK which induces phosphorylation of p53 on serine15 which initiates cell-cycle arrest¹⁷⁵ and there is evidence that AMPKp is located at the chromosomes during mitosis indicating modulation¹⁷⁶. Proliferation was inhibited by metformin in cultured breast cancer cells¹⁷⁷ and prostate cancer cells¹⁷⁸ through G_0/G_1 cell cycle arrest. This happened in breast cancer cells through Cyclin D1 downregulation and CDK inhibitory binding effects on Cyclin E/CDK2 (Fig 1) preventing progression from G_1 into S phase¹⁷⁷. However, in some cancer cells (e.g. MDA-MB-231) there is loss or downregulation of CDK inhibitors rendering them metformin insensitive¹⁷⁷. In ER positive and negative and *erb*B2 normal and abnormal cancer cell lines, cell cycle arrest was demonstrated to be partial and metformin dose-dependent¹⁷⁹. Similarly, in triple negative (TN) breast cancer cell lines where metformin blocked G₁ phase of the cell cycle⁶.

Cell cycle progression was again blocked by metformin in the G_0/G_1 phase, possibly through AMPK activation, without significant cell death in low-density C6 rat glioma cell line cultures whereas in dense cultures caspase-dependent apoptosis occured¹⁸⁰.

AKT is pivotal to some proposed cell cycle pathways as it positively controls G_1/S cell cycle progression through inactivation of GSK-3ß, thus increasing Cyclin D1, inhibiting FOXO (Forkhead family transcription factor) and TSC2 leading to a reduction of CDK p27^{kip1174}. It was hypothesized that the PI3K pathway may also be important in the G_2/M transition and that activation may lead to defects in DNA damage checkpoint control¹⁷⁴. One study¹⁸¹ of medulloblastoma (the most common solid paediatric cancer) in mice found that TSC stabilizes CDK inhibitor p27, preventing cell cycle progression from G_1 into S phase.

PI3K/AKT and ERK pathways (Figs 1,2 &3) were inhibited by the activity of sulphorophane (a compound found in cruciferous vegetables)¹⁸² which may be comparable to metformin action. This was found to activate FOXO transcription factor leading to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells¹⁸².

AKT is controlled in different directions by mTORC1 and mTORC2 (Fig1). It was found that rapamycinmediated mTORC2 inhibition caused greater AKT-dependent cell cycle G_1 phase arrest than mTORC1 attenuation, resulting in strong downregulation of Cyclin D1 and upregulation of p27¹⁸³ which may be the situation for metformin. S6K1 and 4E-BP1/e1F4E pathways were hypothesized to be key mediators of mTOR dependent cell-cycle control¹⁸⁴ (Fig 4).

VIII. Inhibition of fatty acid synthesis

AMPK activation inhibits ACC (acetyl-CoA carboxylase) which increases fatty acid oxidation¹⁸⁵. Metformin was shown to activate AMPK hepatocytes taken from treated rats which inhibited ACC activity³ and more recently in human adipose tissue¹⁸⁶. This induces fatty acid oxidation and reduced expression of lipogenic enzymes, for example fatty acid synthase (FAS) and spot-14 (S14)³ (Fig 1). Expression of SREBP1 and other lipogenic mRNAs and proteins were attenuated³ (Fig 1). Downregulation of SREB1 also happens due to the AKT inhibition and thus increased GSK-3 activity (see above) (Fig 1).

Activation of AMPK by metformin reverses glucose-induced repression of PPARa (peroxisome proliferator activated receptor- alpha) (Fig 1) and specific PPARa genes in pancreatic ß cells and isolated rat islets where control of fatty acid metabolism is important for ß-cell viability and function¹⁸⁷. As high concentrations of fatty acids are toxic to ß cells¹⁸⁷ metformin may be ß-cell protective. AMPK activated PPARa has also been shown to control fatty acid oxidation and skeletal muscle¹⁸⁸.

IX. Inhibition of protein synthesis independently of mTOR

Inhibition of protein synthesis through AMPK activation by metformin is not only through mTOR but also through inhibition of elongation factor-2 (eEF2) which mediates the main translocation step in protein synthesis¹⁸⁹⁻¹⁹¹ (Fig 1).

5. AMPK-independent pathways

I. RAG GTPases

Metformin and phenformin were found to suppress mTORC1 signaling independently of AMPK and TSC by inhibition of RAG GTPases in MEFs, Drosophila Kc167 cells and HEK293T cells (human embryonic kidney cells)¹² (Fig 1). RAG GTPases are able to induce mTORC1 translocation to a perinuclear compartment containing RHEB. It was found that in growing cells mTOR located into large perinuclear aggregates but when amino acids were removed it diffused throughout the cytoplasm which was reversed when amino acids were replaced¹². A later study¹⁹² demonstrated that RAG GTPases associate with a complex named Ragulator which mediates mTORC1 aggregation and association with RHEB at the lysosome surface.

II. AMPK inhibition studies

Metformin was found to activate the AMPK pathway, block the G₀/G₁ cell cycle phase while not inducing apoptosis in prostate cancer cells (DU145, PC-3 and LNCaP cells). However, inhibition of the two catalytic a sub-units of AMPK using siRNA (small interfering RNA) did not prevent the anti-proliferative effects of metformin through a strong decrease in cyclin D1 levels¹⁷⁸. Nevertheless, as the siRNA did not completely abolish AMPK protein expression¹⁷⁸ this may indicate that there may have been some residual effect. The same study group found that metformin up-regulated REDD1 (regulated in development and DNA damage responses 1) gene expression, which inhibited mTOR activity and induced cell cycle arrest in a p53-mediated fashion independently of AMPK in LNCaP prostate cancer cells¹⁹³. Similarly, metformin (not AICAR) inhibited UPR in renal proximal tubular epithelial cells in an AMPK-independent fashion¹⁹⁴.

A similar study was done on MCF-7 breast cancer cells where AMPKa1 subunit was inhibited. In this study it was found that metformin action was blocked indicating that AMPK was essential to its growth suppressive effects¹⁹⁵. These opposing results may indicate differences in the metabolism of the two different cancers as indicated by observation study results (see above) and further evidence that *Cav-1* is a tumour promoter in prostate cancer¹²⁶ and suppressor in breast cancer¹²⁹.

When AMPK was inhibited using Compound C, metformin still caused cell-cycle arrest in the S and G2/M phases and induced apoptosis by activating caspases 3/7, down-regulating Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL expression and up-regulating Bax and Bad in epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines¹⁹⁶.

III. In vivo studies

In mouse liver tissue metformin activated AMPK and inhibited the mTOR pathway whereas in lung tissue AMPK was not activated but inhibition of IGF-IR/IR, AKT, ERK and mTOR took place⁷ again illustrating different tissue responses to metformin. The authors of the study considered this to be lack of direct response by lung tissue to metformin because of insufficient uptake and/or because of decreasing circulating levels of growth factors because of metformin's effects on other tissue⁷.

IV. AMPK- and LKB1-independent hypoglycemic effects

Metformin was found to inhibit hepatic gluconeogenesis in mice lacking AMPK in the liver¹⁹⁷. The drug was also found to decrease expression of genes encoding for the catalytic subunit of glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) while cytosolic phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (pepck) gene expression was unaffected in wild-type AMPK-deficient and LKB1-deficient hepatocytes leading to amplified inhibition of glucose production. This correlated with reduced intracellular ATP concentration in a dose-dependent manner. Thus, metformin was found to inhibit hepatic gluconeogenesis in an LKB1- and AMPK-independent manner via decreased hepatic energy status¹⁹⁷. Similar results were revealed in rat hepatoma cells¹⁹⁸. Recently, Metformin was shown to inhibit glucagon by increased AMP levels which inhibit adenylate cyclase, leading to decreased cyclic AMP (cAMP), PKA, CREB and gluconeogenesis in mouse hepatocytes¹⁹⁹ (fig 1).

V. AMPK-independent HIF-1a suppression

Although metformin activated AMPK, it decreased ATP production and oxygen consumption rates independently of AMPK, which AICAR (5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-4-ribofuranoside) and rapamycin failed to do, to suppress HIF-1a expression in ZDF rat kidney tubules and human renal proximal tubule epithelial cells (HRPTECs)¹⁶⁸.

VI. Solid tumours

Secretory and trans-membrane proteins are folded and modified in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)²⁰⁰. Under stress conditions, UPR (unfolded protein response) allows cells to survive through regulation by X-box binding protein-1 (XBP-1) transcription factor²⁰⁰. PI3K subunits have been shown to interact with

spliced XBP-1 stimulated by insulin via IR²⁰⁰. Biguanides, including metformin, were able to modify UPR transcription during glucose deficiency in solid tumours (colon cancer, fibrosarcoma, stomach cancer, renal cell carcinoma) and induce significant cell death^{201;202}. Moreover, the LKB1-AMPK pathway was demonstrated to be unnecessary for this to happen²⁰¹.

VII. Other Effects

Metformin is known to cause vitamin B12 deficiency and B12 deficiency is also known to inhibit cancer growth. Hence, some reports give this as one mechanism for metformin's inhibitory affects on cancer²⁰³ and also enhanced response to chemotherapy²⁰⁴.

Metformin may act AMPK-dependently to reduce cardiac hypertrophy²⁰⁵ and AMPK-independently to induce protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) which reduces tau phosphorylation in primary cortical neurons of transgenic embryos²⁰⁶. Hyperphosphorylated tau has a role in the formation of neurofibrillary tangles in Altzheimer's Disease²⁰⁶.

6. Antioxidant actions

Studies have shown that oxidative stress contributes to cancer progression and metastasis and these can be reduced by powerful antioxidants²⁰⁷. Metformin has antioxidant properties as it reduces ROS¹⁰⁷. It has been proposed that ROS (particularly hydrogen peroxide) from adjacent breast cancer cells downregulate Cav-1¹²⁹ in CAFs which in turn increases eNOS due to decreased co-precipitation with Cav-1^{129;208}. Consequently, NO levels increase leading to mitochondrial dysfunction because of DNA damage, uncoupling and increased ROS levels.¹²⁹ Through the "bystander effect" a positive feedback loop is established when these ROS effect DNA damage leading to genomic instability and random mutagenesis and increased ROS production in the cancer cells affecting CAFs. The oxidative stress affecting the CAF mitochondria leads to mitophagy, hence aerobic glycolysis, secretion of nutrients and lactate and by the "Reverse Warburg Effect" leads to mitochondrial biogenesis in the adjacent cancer cells and oxidative metabolism¹²⁹. Secreted lactate and ketones may then increase acetyl CoA levels which may lead to increased histone acetylation thus gene transcription. Thus epigenetics and energy metabolism could drive breast cancer outcome rather than gene profile per se and metformin's effects on energy metabolism would ameliorate this²⁰⁹. Metformin was also shown to restore Cav-1 activity in these breast stromal fibroblast co-cultures by its actions ¹²⁹ conversely, Cav-1 was shown to be necessary for metformin's inhibitory effects on the IGF-1 pathway in NSCLC cells²¹⁰. It would be expected that metformin's pleiotropic effects through inhibition of the mTOR pathway and other pathways detailed in this review would also contribute its effects.

Oxidative stress may be reduced by metformin's activation of AMPK resulting in FOXO3 and the antioxidant thioredoxin (Trx) upregulation thus ROS inhibition²¹¹. Metformin attenuated oxidative stress-induced apoptosis by reducing and or normalizing electron chain ROS production and by preventing loss of cytochrome c and NADH due to inhibition of mitochondrial permeability transition pore (PTP) opening in kidney endothelial cells² and in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC)²¹².

Metformin brought about a reduction in high fat-induced cardiomyocyte death probably through ceramide synthesis and caspase-3 inhibition²¹³. However, it was found that at high concentrations metformin caused proton and lactate accumulation (lactic acidosis) leading to cell damage independent of caspase-3²¹³.

7. Inhibition of inflammation

Inflammation is an immune response to physical, psychological and or oxidative stress which triggers the NF-kB pathway²¹⁴. In acute inflammatory episodes NF-kB has an inhibitory effect on cancer eliminating transformed cells but when activated constitutively it is pro-tumorigenic²¹⁴. Karin (2008)³⁵, using mice, proposed a mechanism for the connection between inflammation and cancer. He proposed that two types of cells are involved: inflammatory cells such as macrophages, dendritic cells and/or neutrophils of the lamina propria and, in the case of colitis-associated cancer (CAC), intestinal epithelial pre-malignant cells. In inflammatory cells, pathogens and/or pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. TNFa or IL-1) enter the inflammatory cell, which, through MyD88 adapter protein, activate IKKß which mediates transcription of NF-kB. Production of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 is then upregulated (see above and Fig 3). The IL-6 produced then activates STAT-3 in intestinal epithelial pre-malignant cells causing proliferation. NF-kB is also activated which upregulates survival genes such as BcL-X.³⁵.

Inflammatory processes influence tumorigenesis and metastasis even in cancers that do not have their etiology in inflammation such as breast and prostate cancers. For instance, prostate metastasis involves solid tumour infiltration of lymphocytes and upregulation of receptor activator of NF-kB (RANK) and its ligand (RANKL)²¹⁵. Metformin was shown to decrease RANKL expression in ovariectomized rats²¹⁶.

STAT3 is a complex oncogene²¹⁷. Cells that contain activated STAT3 secrete immunosuppressive factors and it contributes to lymphoma cell immune response evasion and also tumour progression²¹⁷. This oncogene has been proposed as being the link between inflammation and cancer³⁴. Here, more complex feedback mechanisms are postulated as taking place within a microenvironment including intrinsic STAT3-dependent autocrine feedback loops in neoplastic epithelia and extrinsic interactions between tumour (myeloid cell), inflammatory (lymphocyte) and stromal cells³⁴.

Metformin may be predicted to inhibit these processes through the inhibition of AKT which is one of the upstream activators of IKK (Fig 3) and, as discussed above, in relation to the II-6/NF kB/STAT3 positive feedback loop³⁷. In support of this, STAT3 knockdown in TN breast cancer cells enhanced metformin's enhancement of growth inhibition and apoptosis²¹⁸ and metformin was shown to be more effective than the insulin secretagogue Repaglinide, in reducing biomarkers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in a cross-over study of T2D non-obese subjects²¹⁹.

Another study²²⁰ named HIF-1a as the link between inflammation and carcinogenesis. Where IL-6 upregulates NF-kB through the mTOR pathway (or independently) leading to increased transcription of COX-2 protein which upregulates HIF-1a thus VEGF and carcinogenesis²²⁰.

Metformin (through AMPK) has been shown to inhibit cytokine-induced NF-kB in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs)^{156;221}, lipopolysaccharide (a component of bacterial cell walls)-induced proinflammatory genes in mouse macrophages²²² and increase survival in endotoxaemic mice²²³. Anti-inflammatory action was also demonstrated as metformin attenuated aortic atherosclerotic progression in rabbits (see above)¹⁵³. Metformin affected release of both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and reduced toxic molecule production in an AMPK-independent manner in rat microglia²²⁴.

IL-6 levels were found to be modulated by IL-6R gene polymorphisms in metabolic syndrome²²⁵ which may affect the processes discussed above.

8. Immune cell activation

Metformin was shown to increase fatty acid oxidation and $CD8T_M$ – cell generation in mice and improved the efficacy of an experimental anti-cancer vaccine although the mechanism was not known²²⁶. Moreover, the immunogenerative effect of biguanides was also demonstrated when phenformin (and Clofibrate) was given to breast cancer patients after radical mastectomy. It resulted in delayed sensitivity to DNCB (dinitrochlorobenzene), tuberculin, and candidin, increased T-lymphocyte count and improved lymphocyte blast transformation reaction²²⁷.

9. Effects on longevity and telomerase

Biguanides (metformin, buformin and phenformin) significantly increased the life-span of rats²²⁸ through mechanisms detailed above i.e. prevention in age-related deterioration in: glucose and insulin metabolism; fertility (due to the hypothalomo-pituitary complex sensitivity to negative feedback inhibition); and tumourigenesis and apparently to a greater degree than calorie restriction^{229;230} (Fig 1) and genetic manipulation²²⁸.

Long-term treatment with metformin was shown to increase the life-span of spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR)²³¹, transgenic HER-2/neu mice⁴⁰ and increase longevity and reduce tumourigenesis in female SHR mice except when given at an old age when it led to reduced life-span²³².

Although telomere shortening is seen as being involved in aging and carcinogenesis²³³ maintenance of telomere length via telomerase expression is vital for endometrial cancer cells to remain proliferative²³⁴. Metformin may inhibit telomerase activity by inhibiting the hTERT gene expression which encodes the catalytic subunit of telomerase in these cells²³⁴ and by decreasing telomerase activity in the colon cancer cell line SW -480²³⁵.

10.Inhibition of Cancer stages

The tumour suppressor protein p53 regulates the cell cycle (Fig 1) and by cell cycle arrest or apoptotic initiation guards against all stages of cancer including: initiation due to DNA damage; abnormal proliferation; telomere erosion; hypoxia/angiogenesis; loss of support/survival factors; and chemoresistance²³⁶. Metformin via AMPK activates p53 directly and/or by inhibiting AKT and MDM2 (Fig 1) (see above) and has been found to selectively inhibit p53-deficient colon cancer cell growth and induce autophagy⁸. The p28^{GANK} oncogene (overexperssed in many cancers) was shown to inhibit p53 and activate the PI3K/AKT/HIF-1a pathway whereas inhibition of the pathway attenuated p28^{GANK} mediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and migration²³⁷.

Metformin is known to inhibit NF-kB (see above). A review by Nishikori (2005)²³⁸ on lymphoid malignancies details how NF-kB may contribute to these malignancies and how NF-kB transcribes genes that facilitate tumour progression: inflammation^{35;238} (TNF, IL-1, chemokines), cellular immortality (telomerase), cell survival (BCL-X_L, cIAP, XIAP, cFLIP), angiogenesis (VEGF, TNF, IL-1, IL-8), proliferation (TNF, IL-1, IL-6, cyclin D1, cMYC), tumour promotion (COX2, iNOS, MMP-9, uPA) and metastasis (ICAM-1, VCAM-1, ELAM-1)²³⁸ (see Nishikori 2005²³⁸ for abbreviations). Recent studies, however, have shown that there is a complex relationship between NF-kB and lung metastasis in *in vivo*

mammary tumour models and that for a brief initial time period NF-kB activation in macrophages may lead to anti-metastatic effects²³⁹ complex opposing effects of NF-kB have also been demonstrated for other cancers^{240;241}. Nevertheless, NF-kB inhibition is one mechanism by which metformin may inhibit different cancer stages.

a. Initiation

Results from observational studies demonstrate metfromin's cancer-preventing properties (see sbove). *In vivo* studies such as the prevention of tobacco-induced lung cancer in mice also demonstrate metformin's efficacy⁷ The molecular reasons for this are shown in the various pathways studied mainly *in vitro* (Figs 1-4).

b. Tumorigenesis

Survivin is an IAP (inhibitor of apoptosis protein) important in tumourigenesis and is regulated by p53²⁴². Survivin is not expressed in non-proliferating adult tissues but is highly expressed in cancer^{243;244} Different variants exist and possibly have a role in different stages of cancer development²⁴³, survivin -2B being important in tumour progression²⁴⁵. Survivin may inhibit caspase activity, acts at the mitotic spindle checkpoint²⁴⁶ to promote mitosis and promotes chondrosarcoma chemoresistance *in vitro*²⁴⁴.

In lung cancer carcin ogenesis *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies, deguelin-induced AMPK activation was shown to inhibit survivin expression by inhibiting p70 S6K via inhibition of the AKT-mTOR1 pathway which then induces apoptosis²⁴⁷. Metformin would be expected to act similarly and was found to have a comparable effect in *in vitro* breast cancer studies in an AMPK dependent and/or independent manner²⁴⁸ (Fig 4).

c. Angiogenesis

Induction of HIF -1 via the Ras pathway (see above) and activation and stabilization of HIF-1a through hypoxia in solid tumours results in the transcription of VEGF-a and Ang-2 which initiate angiogenesis¹⁴⁵. Moreover, ET-1 activates the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway (Fig 1) causing inhibition of PHD2 (Fig 4) promoting HIF-1a stability leading to angiogenesis and melanoma cell division¹⁶⁹. Metformin, via AMPK, was found to inhibit insulin and IGF-1 induced HIF-1a in retinal epithelial ARPE-19 cells¹⁶⁷ and also inflammatory angiogenesis in murine sponge implants²⁴⁹. Angiogenesis via NF-kB and ERK pathways was shown to be decreased by metformin's activation of thrombospondin-1 in women with PCOS²⁵⁰. In contrast, metformin was reported as inducing an angiogenic phenotype using MDA-MB-435 cells injected into mice²⁵¹ however, these cells are most likely to be M14 melanoma cells and cannot be used as a breast cancer model^{252;253} although it may indicate an angiogenic effect of metformin on some melanoma cells. Moreover, metformin was found to powerfully stimulate proliferation in one melanoma cell line (nRASQ61K)²⁵⁴.

d. Invasion

HIF induces several genes involved in invasion. These include those governing proteolysis of surrounding areas, cell migration and adhesion¹⁴⁵. Hypoxia, thus HIF, induces multi-drug resistance (MDR) and glycolysis in cancer cells²⁵⁵ (see below).

i. Proteolysis

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are zinc-containing endopeptidases responsible for extracellular matrix (ECM) proteolysis particularly collagen and fibronectin and are involved in cancer metastasis and angiogenesis²⁵⁶. Tumour-secreted MMPs destroy ECM in surrounding tissues allowing invasion of the

blood vessel basement membrane and metastasis to remote organs. PI3K and MAPK (mitogen activated protein kinase) pathways contribute to MMP-9 expression along with transcription factors AP-1 (transcription factor activator protein-1), NF-kB and SP-1 (specificity protein-1). Metformin was found to suppress MMP-9 activation by blocking Ca²⁺ influx and the PKCa/ERK and JNK/AP-1 pathways inhibiting human fibrosarcoma cell migration, invasion and potentially metastasis²⁵⁶.

ii. Migration

HIF can induce transcription of many genes including those controlling energy metabolism, survival, pH and cell migration¹⁴⁵. Vascular cell migration is controlled by VEGF-A when sprouting neovessels move into hypoxic tumour areas¹⁴⁵ by inducing external vessel sprouting and promoting migration into the tumour²⁵⁷. Endothelial cells at the sprout tips use long filipodia full of VEGFR -2 (VEGF receptor-2) to navigate and migrate¹⁴⁵. This "angiogenic switch" is needed for invasion into surrounding tissue and to metastasize to remote sites²⁵⁷. "Vasculogenic mimicry" is thought to enable tumour cells to acquire endothelial cell features and line the vessels^{258,259} and one model suggests that in glioblastoma these cells may be differentiated from tumour stem-like cells^{259;260}

Mutations in PTEN lead to enhanced cell migration. It was found that PTEN-deficient cell migration was governed by the PDK1-AKT pathway in mouse fibroblasts (MEFs)²⁶¹ and in glioma cells²⁶². Metformin was shown to suppress tumourigenesis in PTEN-deficient mice (see above)¹²⁴ and migration in glioma cells²⁶².

Other cell-migratory genes include PGI/AMF (phosphoglucose isomerase/autocrine-motility factor), the spreading factor (cMET) and transforming growth factor TGF-a. The latter is involved in EMT that is responsible for downregulation of epithelial cadherin (E-cadherin) which is essential for cell-cell adhesion. HIF induces LOX (lysyl oxidase) in hypoxic conditions which transcribes SNAI1, an E-cadherin inhibitory gene¹⁴⁵.

iii. Stem cells

A recent review²⁶³ proposes the concept that a normal cell mutates to become a cancer-initiating cell and is not necessarily a cancer stem cell (CSC) which is a subset within the tumour and which sustains or propagates tumour growth²⁶³. Cancer stem cells or side population (SP)²⁶⁴ have been defined as cells with self-renewal capacity and the ability to produce multiple distinct differential cell types to form all cell types that are found in the mature tissue²⁶⁵. CSCs have been identified in leukaemia²⁶⁶, melanoma²⁶⁷, multiple myeloma²⁶⁸ and brain²⁶⁹, breast²⁷⁰, colon²⁷¹, ovarian²⁷², pancreatic²⁷³, prostate²⁷⁴ and endometrial²⁷⁵ cancers.

Cell surface adhesion molecules can be used as markers for CSCs²⁶⁹. Differential expression of one or more of these markers indicates that tumour-initiating cell heterogeneity exists for different tumour types^{268;272-276}. For instance, CD133 (human Prominin-1, AC133) has been found in brain, prostate, colon, ovarian and endometrial CSCs²⁷⁵. CD133⁺ cells have demonstrated increased *in vivo* tumour initiation, asymmetric cell division and increased chemotherapeutic resistance compared with CD133⁻ cells. CD133 expression has been shown to be epigenetically controlled in normal endometrial and cancer cells²⁷⁵ and also in ovarian cancer cells²⁷⁷ where demethylation produces increased expression. CD34⁺ is an important marker in chronic myeloid leukaemia stem cells where hypermethylation deactivates HOX genes²⁷⁸ and CD271⁺ indicates melanoma stem cells²⁶⁷.

The CD44^{hi}/CD24^{lo} phenotype indicates breast cancer stem cells together with EMT traits²⁷⁹ whereas CD44^{hi}/CD24^{hi} indicates shorter distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) in MDA-MB-468 triple negative breast cancer patients²⁸⁰. Failure of HER-2 overexpressing breast carcinoma cells to respond to the anti-HER-2 monoclonal antibody Trastuzumab is caused by Trastuzumab resistant/CD44 over-expressing

tumour initiating stem cells²⁸¹. Metformin was found to act synergistically with Trastuzumab to suppress self-renewal and proliferation of HER-2 positive CSC/progenitor breast carcinoma cells²⁸¹.

It has been purported that CSCs and non-stem cancer cells (NSCCs), although having different microRNA and mRNA profiles, are converted into each other in both directions in dynamic equilibrium^{282;283} where about 10% of the cellular population have been shown to be CSCs in a breast oncogenesis model²⁸². CSCs appear to be a more extreme version of transformed cells than NSCCs and have a stronger inflammatory feedback loop involving high NF-kB and Lin28, low Let-7 and high IL-6 (see above and Fig 3). It was found that in breast and prostate cancer cell lines, CSC-secreted IL-6 (and possibly other molecules) converts some NSCCs into CSCs through the inflammatory feedback loop. The rate of CSC formation depends on their proportion of the cellular population, the amount of IL-6 secreted, the IL-6 receptor level and the response of the NSCCs to IL-6 concentration²⁸². It was suggested that conventional therapy should be combined with metformin which selectively kills CSCs^{282;284}.

Like somatic stem cells, CSCs are reported to be relatively inactive compared with more differentiated tumour cells and having a slow cycling rate demonstrate defense against drug therapies which target the fastest dividing cancer cells²⁸⁵ however the SP population in the MHCC-97L HCC cell line showed similar growth patterns to non-SP cells²⁸³. This may be because SP phenotype and "stemness" may vary between different cancer cells tissues with different ABCG2 membrane transporter expression²⁸³ and may result in different responses to different therapies.

The function of membrane transporters belonging to the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) membrane transporter class is to efflux toxic compounds from cells. These transporters include ABCB1, ABCC1 and ABCG2 and they mediate most human multidrug resistance²⁸⁵. As with cell surface adhesion molecules, epigenetic regulation of membrane transporters takes place and may be downregulated in chemores istant cells²⁸⁵.

ABCG2 (also known as BCRP1 – breast cancer resistance protein 1) correlates with cancer-stem-like phenotypes and is an important MDR mediator^{285;286}. The PTEN and/or the PI3K/AKT pathway regulates ABCG2²⁸⁷ activity and inhibition of the PI3K/AKT pathway resulted in decreased ABCG2 activity²⁸⁷ in glioma endothelial stem-like cells²⁶⁴ in HCC cells²⁸³ and *in vivo* bone marrow cells²⁸⁸. mTOR did not take part in this pathway and loss of PTEN increased glioma endothelial stem cell population²⁶⁴. RTK (receptor tyrosine kinase) growth factor membrane receptors such as EGFR activate the AKT pathway which induces ABCG2 activity²⁸⁵. Metformin's effects on the AKT pathway may explain its inhibitory effects on CSCs. However, inhibition of ABCG2 could result in neurotoxic effects as this membrane transporter helps maintain the blood brain barrier (BBB)²⁸⁵. Orally administered metformin may cross the BBB because it has been found in the cerebrospinal fluid of treated diabetic rats²⁸⁹.

ABCG2 has been found to reduce intracellular concentrations of chemotherapeutic agents such as Doxorubicin and Mitoxantrone^{285;290}. Nevertheless, metformin and Doxorubicin acted synergistically to kill both CSC and NSCC breast cancer cells in culture²⁸⁴. This combination therapy also reduced tumour mass and prevented relapse more than either drug alone in xenograft mouse models which remained tumour-free for at least two months after the therapy ended. Metformin alone was found to inhibit cellular transformation and selectively kill CSCs in 4 genetically different types of breast cancer²⁸⁴.

iv. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and Metastasis

EMT induction in cancer cells is proposed to effect invasion and metastasis²⁹¹. A review²⁹² looking at pancreatic cancer proposed that several cytokines and growth factors induce EMT e.g. TGF-ß & a and the WNT, Notch and hedgehog pathways and transcription factors SNAI1 and ZEB1. This leads to loss of E-cadherin and cytokeratin and conversion of the epithelial sheet-like structure to the mesenchymal single cell spindle-like morphology with increasing vimentin, fibronectin and N-cadherin. The latter cells can become desmoplasic, invasive, stem-like and demonstrate chemoresistance²⁹². MicroRNAs act epigenetically to mediate TGF-ß-induced EMT²⁹¹. Furthermore, TGF-ß-stimulated EMT suppressed murine mammary epithelial cancer cell branching induced by EGF/EGFR and generated large dense hyperinvasive spheroids in response to EGF²⁹³.

CSCs demonstrate EMT markers such as increased secretion of TGF-ß²⁹⁴. Moreover, ET-1 has been shown to confer acquisition of chemoresistance and EMT phenotype in epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) cells and to activate AKT and MAPK pathways²⁹⁵. TGF-ß activates SNAI1 which acts upstream of PI3K to induce EMT in lens epithelial cells²⁹⁶ whereas TWIST activates ß-catenin and AKT pathways to maintain EMT in MCF breast cancer and HeLa stem-like cells²⁹⁷ and stem-like characteristics²⁹⁷. Thrombin has been found to be associated with deep vien thrombosis and metastatic cancer (Trousseau's syndrome) through activation of HIF-1a-induced TWIST²⁹⁸. Metformin has been shown to repress EMT genes SNAIL2, TGF-ß ZEB1, TWIST1²⁹⁴ and reduce ß-catenin protein in human osteoblastic Saos-2 cells²⁹⁹ which may be a reason for metformin's anti-cancer stem cell and anti-fibrotic properties²⁹⁴. Metformin also inhibits TGF-ß-induced loss of E-cadherin in MCF breast cancer cells and cell scattering and accumulation of the mesenchymal marker vimentin in MDCK (Madin-Derby Canine Kidney) cells²⁹⁴.

The double-strand break repair protein NBS1 (Nijmegen breakage syndrome 1) overexpression was associated with metastasis of HNSCC (head and neck squamous cell carcinoma) and induction of EMT through upregulation of SNAI1 and its downstream target MMP-2. *In vitro* EMT phenotypes and increased migration/invasion were reversed by siRNA-mediated repression of SNAI1 or a PI3K inhibitor³⁰⁰. As metformin inhibits PI3K through AMPK it would be expected to act similarly. Conversely, AMPK activation was shown to inhibit anoikis (cell death after detachment) in transforming MEF cells possibly through mTORC1 inhibition, thus protein synthesis inhibition and bioenergetic conservation³⁰¹.

Recently, the hypothesis that EMT effects metastasis and invasion has been challenged because although EMT has been observed *in vitro* and in animal models it has not yet been observed in human tissue sections³⁰². Nevertheless, EMT markers have been found in circulating tumour cells in the peripheral blood of metastatic breast cancer patients³⁰³.

Nevertheless, Metformin may exhibit anti-invasive and anti-metastatic properties in human endometrial carcinoma (ECC-1) cells³⁰⁴ because sera from women with PCOS treated for 6 months with metformin inhibited *in vitro* ECC-1 invasion. This appeared to be associated with NF-kB, MMP2/9, AKT and ERK1/2 pathways³⁰⁴. Moreover, metformin was shown to suppress the metastasis-associated protein CD24 in MDA-MB-468 triple negative breast cancer cells²⁸⁰.

v. The "Warburg Effect"

Cancer cells appear to have a specific way of metabolizing glucose which is described as "aerobic glycolysis" also known as the Warburg Effect and resulting in hyperglycolytic rates. ATP is gained through glycolysis with the production of lactate even in aerobic conditions rather than by oxidative phosphorylation. There have been many attempts at explaining the reasons for this³⁰⁵⁻³¹² which has led to

suggestions that cancer may have a self-perpetuating purpose and a competitive advantage over "normal" cells. There have been many reasons given for this, for instance, that the Warburg effect may contribute to initiation of cancer³⁰⁵ by enhanced glycolysis and decreased respiration which suppresses apoptosis³¹⁰. Moreover, AKT³⁰⁷ and hexokinase-2 (HK2)³¹² (which catalyses the first step in glycolysis) have both been proposed as instigators of the Warburg Effect. AKT activation increases glucose transportation and stimulates HK2 which has a higher than 100fold affinity for glucose than HK4^{12;312} and also binds to the mitochondrial membrane accessing mitochondrial ATP for increased glucose phosphorylation³¹² leading to high glycolytic rates. Mitochondrial biogenesis can then result in increased ROS production thus mtDNA mutations and damaged mitochondria. HIF -1 production inhibits the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl CoA via PDH (pyruvate dehydrogenase) inhibition, resulting in the shunting of pyruvate to lactate³⁰⁹. Another explanation purports that mtDNA mutations and hypoxic conditions cause defective mitochondrial respiration leading to cancer-cell dependency on glycolysis for ATP production. Increased NADH competes with NADPH leading to the inactivation of PTEN and activation of AKT leading to proliferation and cell survival³⁰⁶. A straightforward explanation is that TCA products from oxidative phosphorylation and late-stage glycolysis in proliferating tissue are diverted for use in amino acid, lipid and nucleotide production so that glycolysis becomes the main method of ATP production³⁰⁸ which is pronounced in cancer because of the oncogenes and pathways mentioned above.

As metformin mainly to acts through inhibition of the electron transfer chain it would be expected to be ineffective during aerobic glycolysis but metformin is pleiotropic and may use several other pathways (Fig 1) for instance, its proposed inhibition of AMPD¹²⁰. One model proposes the "Reverse Warburg Effect"^{129;313-315} which may explain one way that metformin could overcome this problem. In breast cancer, mitochondrial and oxidative damage caused by loss of Cav-1 in stromal fibroblasts may cause increased pyruvate and lactate production during aerobic glycolysis. These products can then be used by adjacent epithelial cancer cells in oxidative phosphorylation in the proposed "Reverse Warburg Effect"^{129;313-315}. Metformin was shown to upregulate Cav-1 in co-cultured fibroblasts possibly because of metformin's blocking of ROS production in mitochondria¹²⁹. mTORC1 signalling was found to be more sensitive to metformin as mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) became more confluent and increased levels of HK2 localized to the mitochondrial membrane making the cell more sensitive to respiratory inhibitors¹². Additionally, reassessment of data shows that even with aerobic glycolysis, oxidative phosphorylation does not stop or may even increase in many cancer cells³¹⁶.

11. Clinical Application

a. Different types of cancer

The mechanisms leading to different cancer types are diverse and therefore require different ways to combat them. Different cancers are affected by distinct types and patterns of genetic mutation³¹⁷. Data from observational studies are varied (see above) but show that metformin is apparently more successful against some cancers than others and is sometimes ineffective against some ^{4;318}. There are possibly several reasons for this, for instance, Cav-1 is a tumour suppressor in some instances (see above) e.g. breast cancer, but a tumour promoter in others (see above) e.g. prostate cancer. One possible cause of efficacy differences could be that metformin has been shown to upregulate Cav-1¹²⁹. Moreover, other genetic variations such as in the *ATM* gene are known to affect patient response^{319;320} (see below).

It could be argued that metformin lowers cancer risk because it ameliorates diabetes, a cause of many cancers³²¹ however, there is an inverse relationship between diabetes and prostate cancer³²¹ and as

metformin lowers the risk of prostate cancer (refs) there may be other mechanisms that do not involve the insulin receptor pathway etc. (mentioned above) which are amongst metformin's pleiotropic effects.

Metformin was shown to act additively with tamoxifen to reduce breast cancer growth *in vitro*¹⁶³ and synergistically with doxorubicin to reduce tumour size and relapse in xenograft mice²⁸⁴ (see above). Moreover, the proportion of more treatable progesterone-positive breast cancers was higher in diabetic women treated with metformin than sulfonylurea³²². Aromatase complex activation in BRCA1 mutation carriers (an inactivating tumour suppressor mutation) was contemporaneous with increased oestrogen metabolism into catecholoestrogens and their inactivation by methoxylation and metformin may affect both these pathways³²³. Metformin suppressed HER2 oncoprotein overexpression in breast cancer cells¹⁶⁴ (see above) and inhibited HER2 transplantable mammary carcinoma in mice³²⁴. The drug, via AMPK, was demonstrated to inhibit TN breast cancer *in vitro* by reducing EGFR, MAPK, Src, cyclin D and cyclin E and to reduce tumour growth *in vivo* xenografts⁶ and to be an effective neoadjuvant in early breast cancer diabetic patients³²⁵. Metformin was shown to inhibit the growth of oestrogen receptor-positive human breast carcinoma cells more successfully than those without an oestrogen receptor³²⁶.

Results for metformin's effects on melanoma have been varied^{327;254}. Some *in vitro* studies have shown pro-proliferative effects²⁵⁴. However, a recent study³²⁸ demonstrated that the drug blocked proliferation in both an AMPK dependent and independent manner in melanoma cells while leaving normal melanocytes intact. This appeared to happen through cell cycle arrest in the G0/G1 phase, then autophagy and apoptosis. These results were supported through tumour shrinkage in mice³²⁸.

For most cancers there is a significant contribution from mutations in the RTK-Ras-MAPK pathway (Figs 1 and 2) except prostate³¹⁷ which may explain its differences from other cancers. Moreover, metformin was shown to act independently of AMPK in downregulating cyclin D1 *in vitro* and in mice xenograft prostate cancer models¹⁷⁸ and to have no effect on biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer patients³²⁹.

Metformin, via the inhibition by AMPK of the mTOR pathway, was demonstrated to suppress epithelial cell proliferation thus aberrant crypt foci (ACF) (putative pre-neoplastic lesions) formation in the colorectum of mouse models³³⁰ and intestinal polyp growth in mice with a mutated *APC* tumour suppressor gene³³¹. Furthermore, metformin was demonstrated to reverse progesterone resistance in endometrial cancer Ishikawa cells by downregulating glyoxylase 1 (Glo1), a chemotherapy resistance mediator, while simultaneously inhibiting mTOR phosphorylation leading to apoptosis and attenuation of cellular proliferation in progestin-resistant cells³³².

b. Inhibition of multi-drug resistance

Metformin was found (via AMPK) to inhibit the multidrug resistant gene (*MDR1*)-mediated P-glycoprotein (P-gp)-dependent drug efflux from MCF-7 multidrug resistant breast cancer cells. Proposed mechanisms were inhibition of the AKT/NF-kB pathway leading to attenuaton of NF-kB which is known to be a multidrug resistance mediator and CREB downregulation³³³ (also see above).

c. Response to Metformin

The treatment success of metformin is significantly affected by the tumour suppressor gene ATM^{334} which encodes for a DNA repair and cell cycle regulator protein that is mutated in ataxia telangiectasia, a disease associated with a predisposition to cancer. It is thought that inhibition of ATM attenuates metformin's activation of AMPK either directly or indirectly as it is known that ATM phosphorylates LKB1, possibly phosphorylates AMPK itself and also other steps in the insulin-signalling pathway³²⁰. It was found that double stranded DNA breaks (DSBs) upregulated *ATM*, which in turn activated LKB1, thus downregulating the oncogene *TCL1* (T-cell leukaemia) and inhibited the nuclear localization of mTORC2 that attenuates GC (germinal centre) B-cell proliferation and lymphomagenesis³³⁵. A similar process was achieved when Ramos human GC B-cells were exposed to metformin which activated AMPK thus LKB1³³⁵. Similarly, AICAR-induced AMPK activation resulted in mitochondrial biogenesis in an *ATM*-dependent manner³³⁶.

Metformin is actively transported into cells by an organic cation transporter (OCT)⁹⁹. The OCT1 genotype has been demonstrated to affect metformin pharmokinetics^{337;338}. Seven OCT1 variants have shown reduced response to metformin³³⁸. In epithelial ovarian cancer cells where there were OCT1 polymorphisms, phenformin was unaffected and may be the drug of choice in some clinical settings³³⁹. *In vitro* experiments showed that OCT2 genetic variants may influence renal excretion of metformin and possibly lactic acidosis susceptibility³⁴⁰.

Metformin was found to induce cell death in a caspase-dependent and -independent fashion in all breast cancer cell lines tested except MDA-MB-231 cells³⁴¹.

d. Aneuploidy/genetics/epigenetics

Aneuploidy is a characteristic of cancer and metformin was shown to selectively impair the accumulation of trisomy 13 and 16 cells in cultured MEFs although AICAR was more effective and inhibited more types of trisomic cells³⁴².

Different cancers display different patterns of genetic mutation³¹⁷ but metformin has a comprehensive effect on genes associated with cell growth. This was illustrated during a genome-wide analysis of human breast cancer cells where metformin was shown to suppress the expression of genes coding for ribosomal protein and macromolecule biosynthesis and many mitosis-related gene families including kinesins, tubulins, auroras, histones³⁴³.

Epigenetics is defined as mitotically and/or meiotically heritable changes in gene expression that are not accompanied by changes in DNA sequence³⁴⁴. These comprise: methylation of cytosine DNA bases; posttranslational histone modifications; DNA nucleosome postioning; and negative gene control by microRNAs (miRNAs)³⁴⁵⁻³⁴⁷. Comprehensive epigenetic changes including all these mechanisms are now accepted, along with genetic changes, as being a feature of cancer, especially miRNA modifications³⁴⁷. Changes in miRNA profile can modulate changes in gene expression that characterize early breast cancer³⁴⁸ and human oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma cell proliferation³⁴⁹. Metformin-treated MCF-7 breast cancer cells exhibited upregulated tumour suppressor miRNAs let -7a and miRNA-96 and downregulated oncogenic miRNA-181a preventing EMT-related self renewal³⁵⁰. Demethylation of H3K4me2 (Dimethyl lysine 4 histone H3), an epigenetic transcription chromatin mark at gene promoters, by LSD1 (lysine specific demethylase 1) may repress gene expression of aberrantly-silenced genes³⁵¹.

e. Comparison with other drugs

Phenformin is a more effective activator of AMPK and mTORC1-suppressor than metformin in a wider range of tissues *in vivo*^{104;353}. Moreover, it is more effective at inhibiting tumourigenesis in PTEN-deficient mice than metformin¹²⁴. However, it was withdrawn from use in patients because of the fatal lactic acidosis it produces^{23;24;354} which could limit its use as an anti-cancer medication³⁵³ unless treatment duration and dose could be adjusted¹⁰⁴.

Rapamycin is an immunosuppresent that inhibits mTORC1 and attenuates translation by the dephosphorylation of 4E-BP1 (Fig 4) and some cellular mRNAs¹⁴¹. It appears that metformin's effects on proliferation and apoptosis are greater than those for rapamycin⁹⁹. This may be because negative feedback attenuation due to mTOR activation by rapamycin in the IRS-1 pathway leads to AKT activation whereas AKT is inhibited by metformin's activation of AMPK⁹⁹ (see above).

Rapamycin was found to prevent a greater amount of lung cancer tumourigenesis in mice treated with a tobacco carcinogen (90%) than metformin (72%)⁷ possibly because of AMPK deficiency in lung tissue. However, because rapamycin is immunosuppressive, paradoxically, it may induce lung tumour development in patients due to reduced lung- and tumour-associated regulatory T-cells⁷ making metformin the clinical preference⁷.

Small molecule A769662 binds directly to AMPK at a different site from AMP³⁵⁵ and activates it more effectively, suppressing mTORC1 in more tissues *in vivo* than metformin¹⁰⁴. It also inhibits tumourigenesis in PTEN-deficient mice more effectively than metformin¹²⁴.

AICAR is membrane permeable and becomes ZMP (AICAR monophosphate), an AMP mimetic, on entering the cell, binding to the same allosteric site on AMPK as AMP³⁵⁶, this direct association makes it a more powerful AMPK activator than metformin^{357;358}. Thus AICAR was found to be more effective in stimulating mouse ß cells than metformin whose effects were negligible because of differences in effects on membrane potential³⁵⁷.

Although metformin does not activate AMPK as strongly as AICAR, it has many AMPK-independent effects^{206;359} (see above), for instance, metformin directly downregulated HER2 protein expression in breast cancer cells whereas AICAR did not¹⁶⁴. Moreover, although both metformin and AICAR decrease ER stress and UPR activation in cardiomyocytes they may act on different AMPK activation pathways³⁶⁰. Prolonged AMPK activation by AICAR may lead to liver cell apoptosis³⁶¹ an undesirable side effect³⁵⁸.

The novel AMPK-activating compound OSU-53 was found to suppress proliferation in triple-negative breast cancer cells (irrespective of LKB1 status) by 3 to 4 orders of magnitude greater than metformin or AICAR which was supported by oral suppression of xenograft tumours *in vivo*³⁶². More recently, the metformin derivative HL010183 has been found to be up to 100 times more powerful than metformin in triple negative breast cancer cells and a xenograft model at a lower dose than metformin³⁶³.

There are at least 6 ways that AMPK can be activated by various compounds^{355;355;364} some with great potential but these may take years to develop. It is metformin's pleiotropic actions and its historic tried and tested efficacy and safety that set it apart.

f. Dose needed for cancer suppression

As the risk of cancer increases with increased insulin dose³⁶⁵ the dose of metformin needed for cancer suppression needs to be tested on non-diabetic subjects.

A therapeutic metformin dose of 1500 mg/d given for 6 months reduced fasting insulineamia by an average of 22.4% in early breast cancer patients without overt type 2 diabetes⁸³. As an association between preoperative insulineamia and breast cancer progression rate was reported in a cohort study³⁶⁶ a phase III randomized trial was suggested to assess metformin's antitumoural efficacy³⁶⁷. Patient selection should be based on pharmagenetic, oestrogen production and signaling aspects and metformin targets within tumours³⁶⁷.

PCOS patients were given 500mg of metformin 3 times a day for 30-32 days. A significant reduction in plasma insulin and testosterone and an increase in SHBG concentrations were found³⁶⁸.

The equivalent of a therapeutic dose of metformin in high glucose concentration-exposed endothelial cell culture was deemed to be 100µmol/L which prevented mitochondrial PTP opening-related cell death in response to hyperglycaemic conditions²¹².

A phase I trial, performed on 11 patients with various types of advanced solid tumours, showed that the maximum tolerated dose of metformin with temsirolimus was 500mg daily and 20mg weekly, respectively. A patient with head and neck cancer exhibited a partial response, 6 patients were stable and 2 patients' cancer progressed³⁶⁹.

Metformin was found to be absorbed by the entire rat intestine but mainly at the duodenum. This was shown to be concentration dependent both by active transport and passively. When extrapolated to humans it was estimated that 74% -90% would be absorbed by the intestine³⁷⁰. Greater AMPK activation was achieved with higher concentrations of metformin when applied to cardiomyocytes resulting in reduced UPR and ER stress³⁶⁰ (see above). Moreover, metformin was demonstrated to decrease human colon cancer cell (SW -480) proliferation in a time- and dose-dependent manner²³⁵.

The metformin dose usually used in subjects of the observational studies is the standard pharmacological dose of 1500 mg/d (see above). However, it can be seen from other studies that efficacy increases both with dose concentration and temporarily. Therefore, it appears that the maximum-tolerated dose would be most efficacious in preventing/inhibiting cancer. However, in studies where metformin acts synergistically with another agent a much lower dose is needed²⁸⁴ (see above). A Taiwanese diabetic cohort study found that a metformin dose of 500mg/d was enough to reduce cancer incidence and may represent a threshold dose³⁷¹. Thus, effectiveness depends upon many factors including patient characteristics, genetics and cancer type. There are trials underway to determine the maximum tolerated dose (refs).

g. Resistance to metformin and biomarkers for its efficacy

The feedback loop whereby mTORC1 and ribosomal protein S6 activation leads to inhibition of IRS1 at Ser⁶³⁹ thus inhibiting downstream effectors including AKT^{99;123} is detailed above (shown in Figure 1 in red). Research has shown that where activation of AMPK by metformin causes inhibition of this feedback loop, it is compensated for by the inhibition of IRS-1 by the phosphorylation of the inhibitory site at Ser⁷⁸⁹ which limits downstream signalling⁹⁹. Nevertheless, other research has shown that cells become refractory to the effects of metformin with chronic exposure possibly because of the feedback inhibition³⁷² thus suggesting that anti-IGF-1R antibodies or small molecule IGF-1R compounds may be used to inhibit activation of IRS -1 pathway³⁷². Induction of IGF-1R before and after metformin use may be used to audit its action³⁷².

Various biomarkers have been suggested to assess metformin's efficacy, for instance, Cyclin D, other cell cycle and proliferation biomarkers, hormonal levels, IGF pathway markers and p53 levels⁴³ (see above). Other suggested predictive markers include expression of LKB1 which is an upstream activator of AMPK (Fig 1) and is often lost or downregulated in cancer. Tumour LKB1 levels before and after metformin treatment may indicate its potential and actual treatment success³⁷³ and similarly, mTOR levels may be assessed before and after treatment³⁷³. Moreover, æ metformin has been shown to reduce inflammation thus inflammatory markers which lead to cancer initiation and progression (see above), these marker levels may also be used to assess metformin's effectiveness. Nevertheless, biomarker development requires validation which is methodologically challenging³⁷⁴.

h. Application in non-diabetic subjects

The measurement of patient characteristics including biomarkers mentioned above are needed to assess metformin's efficacy in non-diabetic subjects. For instance, metformin was shown to inhibit injected colon cancer tumour growth and reduce receptor activation in hyperinsulaemic mice independently of LKB1 expression. However, in non-hyperinsulaemic mice only LKB1-negative tumours were inhibited possibly through ATP depletion because of an inactive AMPK pathway³⁷⁵ thus extrapolation to humans would mean that insulin and LKB1 status would need to be known. The only non-diabetic metformin-treated group of patients is women with PCOS. However, PCOS is associated with insulin resistance and obesity²⁵⁰. Moreover, sera from PCOS women increased *in vitro* migration and angiogenesis and showed more NF-kB, ERK 1,2 & 5 phosphorylation when added to HMEC compared with matched normal controls²⁵⁰ making PCOS women poor "normal" subjects for metformin trials.

i. Adjuvant effects

Metformin can be used as an adjuvant in chemotherapy but the effects may depend upon the therapy used and the type of cancer. For instance *in vitro* metformin acted synergistically with Trastuzumab to suppress self-renewal and proliferation of HER-2 positive breast carcinoma CSC/progenitor cells²⁸¹ (see above). Moreover, when metformin or phenformin were combined with 2DG (2-deoxyglucose) and incubated with colon cancer cells, enhanced growth inhibition and reduced acidification were observed³⁷⁶. Metformin and 2DG together had significant anti-tumoral effects in mouse xenograft models through combined respiratory and gycolytic inhibition³⁷⁷.

Metformin given in water alone was shown to inhibit ovarian cancer growth, proliferation, angiogenesis and metastasis in mice but acted synergistically with cisplatin to reduce tumour size by approximately 90%³⁷⁸. Combination of metformin and cisplatin *in vitro* resulted in synergistic action in epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines to induce apoptosis^{9;196} and also in mouse B16 melanoma cells³²⁷. Synergistic action by metformin was also observed in osteo- and fibrosarcoma cells with cisplatin or doxorubicin³⁷⁹, with doxorubicin in CSC and NSCC breast cancer cells in culture²⁸⁴ and in tumour inhibition in xenograft mouse models²⁸⁴ (see above). Importantly, metformin acted antagonistically with cisplatin in human^{327;380} and rat glioma cell lines^{327,380}, mouse fibrosarcoma lines^{327;380} and human neuroblastoma and leukaemia cell lines³²⁷.

Oral administration of metformin to xenografted mice with either doxorubicin, paclitaxol³⁸¹, or carboplatin inhibited tumour growth and prevented relapse of several cancer types³⁸² and reduced the dose of doxorubicin needed thereby possibly increasing standard chemotherapy effectiveness³⁸².

Chemotherapy involves the use of mitotic inhibitors (MI) which also damage normal cells. Using three normal human fibroblasts and epithelial cell types and mutant p53 cancer cells, a combination of rapamycin and metformin was found to potentiate chemotherapy with the MIs paclitaxol and nocodazole while protecting normal cells³⁸³.

Metformin and Vemurafenib (a bRAF inhibitor) were tested on several melanoma cell lines. It was found that metformin alone inhibited proliferation in 12 out of 19 cell lines and synergistic effects of the two drugs were found in many lines with antagonistic effects in some²⁵⁴.

j. Side and other effects

The most common side effect seen in patients using metformin is gastrointestinal upsets^{384;385} such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and flatus (around 30%) possibly because of metformin-mediated 5HT (5-hydroxytriptamine) and other neurotransmitter release within the duodenal mucosa³⁸⁶. Other side

effects include vitamin B-12 deficiency³⁸⁷ (see above) and lactic acidosis^{354;388} possibly because of respiratory inhibition³⁵⁴, pancreatitis³⁸⁸ and respiratory alkalosis³⁸⁹ which usually occur in patients with renal failure or another underlying health problem.

A meta-analysis showed that metformin decreased LDL and triglyceride levels in patients³⁸⁴. The drug has also been found to inhibit tau expression in Altzheimer's plaques²⁰⁶ (see above), modulate LH (luteinizing hormone) and the LH/FSH (follicle stimulating hormone) ratio particularly in PCOS patients³⁹⁰, lower blood pressure³⁹¹ and potentially to ameliorate neuropathic pain³⁹².

12.Future

a. In vitro and in vivo work

In vitro work is quick and informs molecular pathways but it can only be used as supporting evidence for drug efficacy because cell lines may not act like those in the body and immortalised lines such as HeLa cells develop their own characteristics. Moreover, HeLa cells have cross-contaminated other cell lines³⁹³ Cells may be wrongly identified, for instance, MDA-MB-435 cell lines used in some breast cancer studies^{251;253;394} (see above). Prostate cancer cell lines DU145, PC-3 and LNCaP demonstrate very different characteristics³⁹⁵⁻³⁹⁸ and the TSUPR1 cell line may be derived from bladder carcinoma cells³⁹⁹.

MCF-7, the most commonly used breast cancer cell line, despite similar morphology, demonstrated different growth rates, hormone receptor content, karyotype and clonogenicity from different laboratories³⁹³ making result comparison difficult. Screening is one option³⁹³. Nevertheless, cell lines are obtained from more aggressive, often metastatic tumours not primary lesions, making results unrepresentative of the diverse types and stages of cancer³⁹³. Cell lines do not automatically demonstrate the heterogeneity and the stem-cell dynamics of tumours but may be manipulated⁴⁰⁰. More recently primary tumour cell lines have been established and primary cell cultures have been used as explants and for individual cell culture^{393;400}.

In vivo investigations are now mainly done through xenografts⁴⁰⁰ as direct extrapolations between species are problematic⁴⁰¹. Early passage cancer cell xenografts correlate well with clinical outcome when combined with mathematical modeling to individualize treatment for patients from whom the tumours are obtained⁴⁰⁰.

Molecular, cell-line, primary or early passage culture and xenograft modeling now optimize pre-clinical experimentation prior to clinical trials. Transplantation using extracellular matrices is now often used in mammary repopulation assays⁴⁰².

- b. Planned trials
- c. Trials on healthy individuals

13. Conclusion

Reference List

- (1) Berstein L, Yue W, Wang JP, Santen R. Isolated and combined action of tamoxifen and metformin in wild-type, tamoxifen-resistant, and estrogen-deprived MCF-7 cells. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2010;1-9.
- (2) Morales AI, Detaille D, Prieto M, Puente A, Briones E, Arevalo M et al. Metformin prevents experimental gentamicin-induced nephropathy by a mitochondria-dependent pathway. Kidney Int 2010; 77(10):861-869.
- (3) Zhou G, Myers R, Li Y, Chen Y, Shen X, Fenyk-Melody J et al. Role of AMP-activated protein kinase in mechanism of metformin action. J Clin Invest 2001; 108:1167-1174.
- (4) Currie CJ, Poole CD, Gale EA. The influence of glucose-lowering therapies on cancer risk in type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2009; 52(9):1766-1777.
- (5) Libby G, Donnelly LA, Donnan PT, Alessi DR, Morris AD, Evans JMM. New Users of Metformin Are at Low Risk of Incident Cancer. Diabetes Care 2009; 32(9):1620-1625.
- (6) Liu B, Fan Z, Edgerton SM, Deng XS, Alimova IN, Lind SE et al. Metformin induces unique biological and molecular responses in triple negative breast cancer cells. Cell Cycle 2009; 8(13):2031-2040.
- (7) Memmott RM, Mercado JR, Maier CR, Kawabata S, Fox SD, Dennis PA. Metformin prevents tobacco carcinogen-induced lung tumorigenesis. Cancer Prev Res (Phila Pa) 2010; 3(9):1066-1076.
- (8) Buzzai M, Jones RG, Amaravadi RK, Lum JJ, DeBerardinis RJ, Zhao F et al. Systemic Treatment with the Antidiabetic Drug Metformin Selectively Impairs p53-Deficient Tumor Cell Growth. Cancer Res 2007; 67(14):6745-6752.
- (9) Gotlieb WH, Saumet J, Beauchamp MC, Gu J, Lau S, Pollak MN et al. In vitro metformin antineoplastic activity in epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecologic Oncology 2008; 110(2):246-250.
- (10) Zakikhani M, Dowling RJO, Sonenberg N, Pollak MN. The Effects of Adiponectin and Metformin on Prostate and Colon Neoplasia Involve Activation of AMP -Activated Protein Kinase. Cancer Prev Res 2008; 1(5):369-375.
- (11) Zhuang Y, Miskimins WK. Cell cycle arrest in Metformin treated breast cancer cells involves activation of AMPK, downregulation of cyclin D1, and requires p27Kip1 or p21Cip1. J Mol Signal 2008; 3:18.
- (12) Kalender A, Selvaraj A, Kim SY, Gulati P, Brule S, Viollet B et al. Metformin, independent of AMPK, inhibits mTORC1 in a rag GTPase-dependent manner. Cell Metab 2010; 11(5):390-401.
- (13) Hardie DG. Sensing of energy and nutrients by AMP-activated protein kinase. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2011.

- (14) Zheng M, Wang YH, Wu XN, Wu SQ, Lu BJ, Dong MQ et al. Inactivation of Rheb by PRAKmediated phosphorylation is essential for energy-depletion-induced suppression of mTORC1. Nat Cell Biol 2011; advance online publication.
- (15) EI-Mir MY, Detaille D, Villanueva G, gado-Esteban M, Guigas B, Attia S et al. Neuroprotective role of antidiabetic drug metformin against apoptotic cell death in primary cortical neurons. J Mol Neurosci 2008; 34(1):77-87.
- (16) Guigas B, Detaille D, Chauvin C, Batandier C, De OF, Fontaine E et al. Metformin inhibits mitochondrial permeability transition and cell death: a pharmacological in vitro study. Biochem J 2004; 382(Pt 3):877-884.
- (17) FissIthaler B, Fleming I. Activation and Signaling by the AMP -Activated Protein Kinase in Endothelial Cells. Circ Res 2009; 105(2):114-127.
- (18) Hinke SA, Martens GA, Cai Y, Finsi J, Heimberg H, Pipeleers D et al. Methyl succinate antagonises biguanide-induced AMPK-activation and death of pancreatic beta-cells through restoration of mitochondrial electron transfer. Br J Pharmacol 2007; 150(8):1031-1043.
- (19) Rozengurt E, Sinnett-Smith J, Kisfalvi K. Crosstalk between Insulin/Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 Receptors and G Protein-Coupled Receptor Signaling Systems: A Novel Target for the Antidiabetic Drug Metformin in Pancreatic Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2010; 16(9):2505-2511.
- (20) Chong CR, Chabner BA. Mysterious metformin. Oncologist 2009; 14(12):1178-1181.
- (21) Koo SH, Flechner L, Qi L, Zhang X, Screaton RA, Jeffries S et al. The CREB coactivator TORC2 is a key regulator of fasting glucose metabolism. Nature 2005; 437(7062):1109-1111.
- (22) Jalving M, Gietema JA, Lefrandt JD, Jong Sd, Reyners AKL, Gans ROB et al. Metformin: Taking away the candy for cancer? European Journal of Cancer 2010; In Press, Corrected Proof.
- (23) Walker R, Linton A, Thomson WS. Mode of action and side-effects of phenformin hydrochloride. Br Med J 1960; 2(5212):1567-1569.
- (24) Fimognari F, Corsonello A, Pastorelli R, Antonelli Inc. Older age and phenformin therapy: a dangerous association. Internal and Emergency Medicine 2008; 3(4):401-403.
- (25) Zimmet P, Alberti KGMM, Shaw J. Global and societal implications of the diabetes epidemic. Nature 2001; 414(6865):782-787.
- (26) Koonen DP, Sung MM, Kao CK, Dolinsky VW, Koves TR, Ilkayeva O et al. Alterations in skeletal muscle fatty acid handling predisposes middle-aged mice to diet-induced insulin resistance. Diabetes 2010; 59(6):1366-1375.
- (27) Renehan A, Smith U, Kirkman MS. Linking diabetes and cancer: a consensus on complexity. The Lancet 2010; 375(9733):2201-2202.
- (28) Giovannucci E, Harlan DM, Archer MC, Bergenstal RM, Gapstur SM, Habel LA et al. Diabetes and Cancer: A consensus report. Diabetes Care 2010; 33(7):1674-1685.

- (29) Xie Z, Dong Y, Scholz R, Neumann D, Zou MH. Phosphorylation of LKB1 at Serine 428 by Protein Kinase C-{zeta} Is Required for Metformin-Enhanced Activation of the AMP-Activated Protein Kinase in Endothelial Cells. Circulation 2008; 117(7):952-962.
- (30) Luo Z, Zang M, Guo W. AMPK as a metabolic tumor suppressor: control of metabolism and cell growth. Future Oncology 2010; 6(3):457-470.
- (31) Hardie DG. AMPK: a key regulator of energy balance in the single cell and the whole organism. Int J Obes 2008; 32(S4):S7-S12.
- (32) Fogarty S, Hawley SA, Green KA, Saner N, Mustard KJ, Hardie DG. Calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase -β activates AMPK without forming a stable complex: synergistic effects of Ca2+ and AMP. Biochem J 2010; 426(1):109-118.
- (33) Shaw RJ, Cantley LC. Ras, PI(3)K and mTOR signalling controls tumour cell growth. Nature 2006; 441(7092):424-430.
- (34) Jarnicki A, Putoczki T, Ernst M. Stat3: linking inflammation to epithelial cancer more than a "gut" feeling? Cell Division 2010; 5(1):14.
- (35) Karin M. The I[kappa]B kinase a bridge between inflammation and cancer. Cell Res 2008; 18(3):334-342.
- (36) Isoda K, Young JL, Zirlik A, MacFarlane LA, Tsuboi N, Gerdes N et al. Metformin Inhibits Proinflammatory Responses and Nuclear Factor-{kappa}B in Human Vascular Wall Cells. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2006; 26(3):611-617.
- (37) Iliopoulos D, Hirsch HA, Struhl K. An epigenetic switch involving NF-kappaB, Lin28, Let-7 MicroRNA, and IL6 links inflammation to cell transformation. Cell 2009; 139(4):693-706.
- (38) Donadon V, Balbi M, Mas MD, Casarin P, Zanette G. Metformin and reduced risk of hepatocel lular carcinoma in diabetic patients with chronic liver disease. Liver Int 2010; 30(5):750-758.
- (39) Monami M, Lamanna C, Balzi D, Marchionni N, Mannucci E. Sulphonylureas and cancer: a caserÇôcontrol study. Acta Diabetologica 2009; 46(4):279-284.
- (40) Anisimov VN, Berstein LM, Egormin PA, Piskunova TS, Popovich IG, Zabezhinski MA et al. Effect of metformin on life span and on the development of spontaneous mammary tumors in HER-2/neu transgenic mice. Exp Gerontol 2005; 40:685-693.
- (41) Schneider MB, Matsuzaki H, Haorah J, Ulrich A, Standop J, Ding XZ et al. Prevention of pancreatic cancer induction in hamsters by metformin. Gastroenterology 2001; 120:1263-1270.
- (42) Hwang YP, Jeong HG. Metformin blocks migration and invasion of tumour cells by inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase-9 activation through a calcium and protein kinase Calpha-dependent pathway: phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate -induced/extracellular signal-regulated kinase/activator protein-1. Br J Pharmacol 2010; 160(5):1195-1211.

- (43) Cazzaniga M, Bonanni B, Guerrieri-Gonzaga A, Decensi A. Is it Time to Test Metformin in Breast Cancer Clinical Trials? Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 2009; 18(3):701-705.
- (44) Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Christakou CD, Kandaraki E, Economou FN. Me tformin: an old medication of new fashion: evolving new molecular mechanisms and clinical implications in polycystic ovary syndrome. Eur J Endocrinol 2010; 162(2):193-212.
- (45) Samuel VT, Petersen KF, Shulman GI. Lipid induced insulin resistance: unravelling the mechanism. The Lancet 2010; 375(9733):2267-2277.
- (46) Kolka CM, Harrison LN, Lottati M, Chiu JD, Kirkman EL, Bergman RN. Diet-induced obesity prevents interstitial dispersion of insulin in skeletal muscle. Diabetes 2009.
- (47) Cai D, Yuan M, Frantz DF, Melendez PA, Hansen L, Lee J et al. Local and systemic insulin resistance resulting from hepatic activation of IKK-beta and NF-kappaB. Nat Med 2005; 11(2):183-190.
- (48) Gauthier MS, Ruderman NB. Adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance: all obese humans are not created equal. Biochem J 2010; 430(2):e1-e4.
- (49) Chen N, Liu L, Zhang Y, Ginsberg HN, Yu YH. Whole-body Insulin Resistance in the Absence of Obesity in FVB Mice With Overexpression of Dgat1 in Adipose Tissue. Diabetes 2005; 54(12):3379-3386.
- (50) Liu L, Zhang Y, Chen N, Shi X, Tsang B, Yu YH. Upregulation of myocellular DGAT1 augments triglyceride synthesis in skeletal muscle and protects against fat-induced insulin resistance. J Clin Invest 2007; 117(6):1679-1689.
- (51) Franks PW, Brage S, Luan J, Ekelund U, Rahman M, Farooqi IS et al. Leptin predicts a worsening of the features of the metabolic syndrome independently of obesity. Obes Res 2005; 13(8):1476-1484.
- (52) Martin SS, Qasim A, Reilly MP. Leptin Resistance: A Possible Interface of Inflammation and Metabolism in Obesity-Related Cardiovascular Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008; 52(15):1201-1210.
- (53) Wang CH, Wang CC, Wei YH. Mitochondrial dysfunction in insulin insensitivity: implication of mitochondrial role in type 2 diabetes. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2010; 1201:157-165.
- (54) Garcia-Guerra L, Nieto-Vazquez I, Vila-Bedmar R, Jurado-Pueyo M, Zalba G, Diez J et al. G PROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTOR KINASE 2 (GRK2) PLAYS A RELEVANT ROLE IN INSULIN RESISTANCE AND OBESITY. Diabetes 2010.
- (55) Usui I, Imamura T, Babendure JL, Satoh H, Lu JC, Hupfeld CJ et al. G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase 2 Mediates Endothelin-1-Induced Insulin Resistance via the Inhibition of Both G{alpha}q/11 and Insulin Receptor Substrate - 1 Pathways in 3T3-L1 Adipocytes. Mol Endocrinol 2005; 19(11):2760-2768.

- (56) Anfosso F, Chomiki N, Alessi MC, Vague P, Juhan -Vague I. Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 synthesis in the human hepatoma cell line Hep G2. Metformin inhibits the stimulating effect of insulin. J Clin Invest 1993; 91(5):2185-2193.
- (57) Kaaks R, Toniolo P, Akhmedkhanov A, Lukanova A, Biessy C, Dechaud H et al. Serum C-peptide, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF-binding proteins, and colorectal cancer risk in women. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000; 92(19):1592-1600.
- (58) PLYMATE SR, MATEJ LA, JONES RE, FRIEDL KE. Inhibition of Sex Hormone-Binding Globulin Production in the Human Hepatoma (Hep G2) Cell Line by Insulin and Prolactin. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1988; 67(3):460-464.
- (59) Calle EE, Kaaks R. Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms. Nat Rev Cancer 2004; 4(8):579-591.
- (60) Lepine J, udet-Walsh E, Gregoire J, Tetu B, Plante M, Menard V et al. Circulating Estrogens in Endometrial Cancer Cases and Their Relationship with Tissular Expression of Key Estrogen Biosynthesis and Metabolic Pathways. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010; 95(6):2689-2698.
- (61) Bianchini F, Kaaks R, Vainio H. Overweight, obesity, and cancer risk. Lancet Oncol 2002; 3(9):565-574.
- (62) Shields-Botella J, Chetrite G, Meschi S, Pasqualini JR. Effect of nomegestrol acetate on estrogen biosynthesis and transformation in MCF-7 and T47-D breast cancer cells. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 2005; 93(1):1-13.
- (63) Lukanova A, Lundin E, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Muti P, Mure A, Rinaldi S et al. Body mass index, circulating levels of sex-steroid hormones, IGF-I and IGF-binding protein-3: a cross-sectional study in healthy women. Eur J Endocrinol 2004; 150(2):161-171.
- (64) Williams GP. The role of oestrogen in the pathogenesis of obesity, type 2 diabetes, breast cancer and prostate disease. Eur J Cancer Prev 2010; 19(4):256-271.
- (65) Flototto T, Djahansouzi S, Glaser M, Hanstein B, Niederacher D, Brumm C et al. Hormones and hormone antagonists: mechanisms of action in carcinogenesis of endometrial and breast cancer. Horm Metab Res 2001; 33(8):451-457.
- (66) Liao DJ, Dickson RB. Roles of androgens in the development, growth, and carcinogenesis of the mammary gland. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2002; 80(2):175-189.
- (67) Hou L, Xu J, Gao YT, Rashid A, Zheng SL, Sakoda LC et al. CYP17 MspA1 polymorphism and risk of biliary tract cancers and gallstones: a population-based study in Shanghai, China. Int J Cancer 2006; 118(11):2847-2853.
- (68) Becker S, Dossus L, Kaaks R. Obesity related hyperinsulinaemia and hyperglycaemia and cancer development. Arch Physiol Biochem 2009; 115(2):86-96.
- (69) Clemmons DR, Sleevi M, Allan G, Sommer A. Effects of Combined Recombinant Insulin-Like Growth Factor (IGF)-I and IGF Binding Protein-3 in Type 2 Diabetic Patients on Glycemic

Control and Distribution of IGF-I and IGF-II among Serum Binding Protein Complexes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007; 92(7):2652-2658.

- (70) Leung KC, Doyle N, Ballesteros M, Waters MJ, Ho KKY. Insulin Regulation of Human Hepatic Growth Hormone Receptors: Divergent Effects on Biosynthesis and Surface Translocation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000; 85(12):4712-4720.
- (71) SNYDER DK, Clemmons DR. Insulin-Dependent Regulation of Insulin-Like Growth Factor-Binding Protein-1. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1990; 71(6):1632-1636.
- (72) Frasca F, Pandini G, Vigneri R, Goldfine ID. Insulin and hybrid insulin/IGF receptors are major regulators of breast cancer cells. Breast Dis 2003; 17:73-89.
- (73) Pandini G, Frasca F, Mineo R, Sciacca L, Vigneri R, Belfiore A. Insulin/insulin-like growth factor I hybrid receptors have different biological characteristics depending on the insulin receptor isoform involved. J Biol Chem 2002; 277(42):39684-39695.
- (74) Renehan AG, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A. Obesity and cancer risk: the role of the insulin-IGF axis. Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism 2006; 17(8):328-336.
- (75) Kaaks R, Lukanova A. Energy balance and cancer: the role of insulin and insulin-like growth factor-I. Proc Nutr Soc 2001; 60(1):91-106.
- (76) Wu Y, Yakar S, Zhao L, Hennighausen L, LeRoith D. Circulating insulin-like growth factor-I levels regulate colon cancer growth and metastasis. Cancer Res 2002; 62(4):1030-1035.
- (77) Kacalska O, Krzyczkowska-Sendrakowska M, Milewicz T, Zabinska-Popiela M, Bereza T, Krzysiek-Maczka G et al. [Molecular action of insulin-sensitizing agents]. Endokrynol Pol 2005; 56(3):308-313.
- (78) Sacco A, Morcavallo A, Pandini G, Vigneri R, Belfiore A. Differential Signaling Activation by Insulin and Insulin-Like Growth Factors I and II upon Binding to Insulin Receptor Isoform A. Endocrinology 2009; 150(8):3594-3602.
- (79) Feinberg AP, Tycko B. The history of cancer epigenetics. Nat Rev Cancer 2004; 4(2):143-153.
- (80) De Souza AT, Hankins GR, Washington MK, Orton TC, Jirtle RL. M6P/IGF2R gene is mutated in human hepatocellular carcinomas with loss of heterozygosity. Nat Genet 1995; 11(4):447-449.
- (81) Devi GR, De Souza AT, Byrd JC, Jirtle RL, MacDonald RG. Altered ligand binding by insulin-like growth factor II/mannose 6-phosphate receptors bearing missense mutations in human cancers. Cancer Res 1999; 59(17):4314-4319.
- (82) Delaine C, Alvino CL, McNeil KA, Mulhern TD, Gauguin L, De MP et al. A novel binding site for the human insulin-like growth factor-II (IGF-II)/mannose 6-phosphate receptor on IGF-II. J Biol Chem 2007; 282(26):18886-18894.
- (83) Goodwin PJ, Pritchard KI, Ennis M, Clemons M, Graham M, Fantus IG. Insulin-lowering effects of metformin in women with early breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 2008; 8(6):501-505.

- (84) Leclerc I, Woltersdorf WW, da Silva Xavier G, Rowe RL, Cross SE, Korbutt GS et al. Metformin, but not leptin, regulates AMP-activated protein kinase in pancreatic islets: impact on glucosestimulated insulin secretion. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2004; 286(6):E1023-E1031.
- (85) Masini M, Bugliani M, Lupi R, del Guerra S, Boggi U, Filipponi F et al. Autophagy in human type 2 diabetes pancreatic beta cells. Diabetologia 2009; 52(6):1083-1086.
- (86) Cheng JT, Huang CC, Liu IM, Tzeng TF, Chang CJ. Novel mechanism for plasma glucoselowering action of metformin in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. Diabetes 2006; 55(3):819-825.
- (87) Lee J, Lee S, Jung J, Kim J, Park S, Kim H. Metformin modulates GLUT4 translocation through AMPK-AS160-Rab4-PKCzeta pathways in both skeletal muscle and adipocyte cells. 2009. Ref Type: Unpublished Work
- (88) Lee SK, Lee JO, Kim JH, Kim SJ, You GY, Moon JW et al. Metformin sensitizes insulin signaling through AMPK-mediated pten down-regulation in preadipocyte 3T3-L1 cells. J Cell Biochem 2011; 112(5):1259-1267.
- (89) Anedda A, Rial E, Gonzalez-Barroso MM. Metformin induces oxidative stress in white adipocytes and raises uncoupling protein 2 levels. J Endocrinol 2008; 199(1):33-40.
- (90) Zhang CY, Baffy G, Perret P, Krauss S, Peroni O, Grujic D et al. Uncoupling protein-2 negatively regulates insulin secretion and is a major link between obesity, beta cell dysfunction, and type 2 diabetes. Cell 2001; 105(6):745-755.
- (91) Hong Y, Fink BD, Dillon JS, Sivitz WI. Effects of Adenoviral Overexpression of Uncoupling Protein-2 and -3 on Mitochondrial Respiration in Insulinoma Cells. Endocrinology 2001; 142(1):249-256.
- (92) Affourtit C, Brand MD. On the role of uncoupling protein-2 in pancreatic beta cells. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Bioenergetics 2007; 1777(7-8):973-979.
- (93) Sunehag AL, Toffolo G, Campioni M, Bier DM, Haymond MW. Effects of dietary macronutrient intake on insulin sensitivity and secretion and glucose and lipid metabolism in healthy, obese adolescents. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005; 90(8):4496-4502.
- (94) Holloway GP, Bonen A, Spriet LL. Regulation of skeletal muscle mitochondrial fatty acid metabolism in lean and obese individuals. Am J Clin Nutr 2009; 89(1):455S-462.
- (95) Consitt LA, Bell JA, Koves TR, Muoio DM, Hulver MW, Haynie KR et al. Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-gamma coactivator-1alpha overexpression increases lipid oxidation in myocytes from extremely obese individuals. Diabetes 2010; 59(6):1407-1415.
- (96) Smith AC, Mullen KL, Junkin KA, Nickerson J, Chabowski A, Bonen A et al. Metformin and exercise reduce muscle FAT/CD36 and lipid accumulation and blunt the progression of high-fat diet-induced hyperglycemia. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2007; 293(1):E172-E181.

- (97) Suwa M, Egashira T, Nakano H, Sasaki H, Kumagai S. Metformin increases the PGC-1{alpha} protein and oxidative enzyme activities possibly via AMPK phosphorylation in skeletal muscle in vivo. J Appl Physiol 2006; 101(6):1685-1692.
- (98) Anavi S, Ilan E, Tirosh O, Madar Z. Infusion of a Lipid Emulsion Modulates AMPK and Related Proteins in Rat Liver, Muscle, and Adipose Tissues. Obesity 2010; 18(6):1108-1115.
- (99) Zakikhani M, Blouin MJ, Piura E, Pollak MN. Metformin and rapamycin have distinct effects on the AKT pathway and proliferation in breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010.
- (100) Morin-Papunen LC, Vauhkonen I, Koivunen RM, Ruokonen A, Martikainen HK, Tapanainen JS. Endocrine and Metabolic Effects of Metformin Versus Ethinyl Estradiol-Cyproterone Acetate in Obese Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A Randomized Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000; 85(9):3161-3168.
- (101) Brown K, Hunger N, Docanto M, Simpson E. Metformin inhibits aromatase expression in human breast adipose stromal cells via stimulation of AMP-activated protein kinase. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2010.
- (102) Samarajeewa NU, Ham S, Yang F, Simpson ER, Brown KA. Promoter-specific effects of metformin on aromatase transcript expression. Steroids 2011; In Press, Accepted Manuscript.
- (103) Dirks P. Cancer stem cells: Invitation to a second round. Nature 2010; 466(7302):40-41.
- (104) Shackelford DB, Shaw RJ. The LKB1-AMPK pathway: metabolism and growth control in tumour suppression. Nat Rev Cancer 2009; 9(8):563-575.
- (105) Brunmair B, Staniek K, Gras F, Scharf N, Althaym A, Clara R et al. Thiazolidinediones, Like Metformin, Inhibit Respiratory Complex I. Diabetes 2004; 53(4):1052-1059.
- (106) EI-Mir MY, Nogueira V+, Fontaine E, Av+¬ret N, Rigoulet M, Leverve X. Dimethylbiguanide Inhibits Cell Respiration via an Indirect Effect Targeted on the Respiratory Chain Complex I. J Biol Chem 2000; 275(1):223-228.
- (107) Kane DA, Anderson EJ, Price III JW, Woodlief TL, Lin CT, Bikman BT et al. Metformin selectively attenuates mitochondrial H2O2 emission without affecting respiratory capacity in skeletal muscle of obese rats. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 2010; 49(6):1082-1087.
- (108) Fryer LG, Parbu-Patel A, Carling D. The Anti-diabetic drugs rosiglitazone and metformin stimulate AMP-activated protein kinase through distinct signaling pathways. J Biol Chem 2002; 277(28):25226-25232.
- (109) Hawley SA, Gadalla AE, Olsen GS, Hardie DG. The antidiabetic drug metformin activates the AMP-activated protein kinase cascade via an adenine nucleotide-independent mechanism. Diabetes 2002; 51:2420-2425.
- (110) Zhang L, He H, Balschi JA. Metformin and phenformin activate AMP-activated protein kinase in the heart by increasing cytosolic AMP concentration. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2007; 293(1):H457-H466.

- (111) Collins SP, Reoma JL, Gamm DM, Uhler MD. LKB1, a novel serine/threonine protein kinase and potential tumour suppressor, is phosphorylated by cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) and prenylated in vivo. Biochem J 2000; 345 Pt 3:673-680.
- (112) Lizcano JM, Goransson O, Toth R, Deak M, Morrice NA, Boudeau J et al. LKB1 is a master kinase that activates 13 kinases of the AMPK subfamily, including MARK/PAR-1. Embo J 2004; 23(4):833-843.
- (113) Zou MH, Kirkpatrick SS, Davis BJ, Nelson JS, Wiles WG, Schlattner U et al. Activation of the AMP-activated protein kinase by the anti-diabetic drug metformin in vivo. Role of mitochondrial reactive nitrogen species. J Biol Chem 2004; 279:43940-43951.
- (114) Hawley SA, Boudeau J, Reid JL, Mustard KJ, Udd L, Makela TP et al. Complexes between the LKB1 tumor suppressor, STRAD alpha/beta and MO25 alpha/beta are upstream kinases in the AMP-activated protein kinase cascade. J Biol 2003; 2:28.
- (115) Ji H, Ramsey MR, Hayes DN, Fan C, McNamara K, Kozlowski P et al. LKB1 modulates lung cancer differentiation and metastasis. Nature 2007; 448(7155):807-810.
- (116) Kenanli E, Karaman E, Enver O, Ulutin T, Buyru N. Genetic Alterations of the LKB1 Gene in Head and Neck Cancer. DNA and Cell Biology 2010;null.
- (117) Hezel AF, Bardeesy N. LKB1; linking cell structure and tumor suppression. Oncogene 2008; 27(55):6908-6919.
- (118) Wingo SN, Gallardo TD, Akbay EA, Liang MC, Contreras CM, Boren T et al. Somatic <italic>LKB1</italic> Mutations Promote Cervical Cancer Progression. PLoS ONE 2009; 4(4):e5137.
- (119) Xie X, Wang Z, Chen Y. Association of LKB1 with a WD-repeat protein WDR6 is implicated in cell growth arrest and p27<sup>Kip1</sup> induction. Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry 2007; 301(1):115-122.
- (120) Ouyang J, Parakhia RA, Ochs RS. Metformin activates AMP-kinase through inhibition of AMP deaminase. J Biol Chem 2010.
- (121) Bader AG, Kang S, Zhao L, Vogt PK. Oncogenic PI3K deregulates transcription and translation. Nat Rev Cancer 2005; 5(12):921-929.
- (122) Luo J, Manning BD, Cantley LC. Targeting the PI3K-Akt pathway in human cancer: rationale and promise. Cancer Cell 2003; 4(4):257-262.
- (123) Macaskill E, Bartlett J, Sabine V, Faratian D, Renshaw L, White S et al. The mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor everolimus (RAD001) in early breast cancer: results of a pre-operative study. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2010;1-10.
- (124) Huang X, Wullschleger S, Shpiro N, McGuire VA, Sakamoto K, Woods YL et al. Important role of the LKB1-AMPK pathway in suppressing tumorigenesis in PTEN-deficient mice. Biochem J 2008; 412(2):211-221.

- (125) Johannessen CM, Reczek EE, James MF, Brems H, Legius E, Cichowski K. The NF1 tumor suppressor critically regulates TSC2 and mTOR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102(24):8573-8578.
- (126) Williams TM, Lisanti MP. Caveolin-1 in oncogenic transformation, cancer, and metastasis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2005; 288(3):C494-C506.
- (127) Tahir SA, Yang G, Ebara S, Timme TL, Satoh T, Li L et al. Secreted caveolin-1 stimulates cell survival/clonal growth and contributes to metastasis in androgen-insensitive prostate cancer. Cancer Res 2001; 61(10):3882-3885.
- (128) Mercier I, Jasmin JF, Pavlides S, Minetti C, Flomenberg N, Pestell RG et al. Clinical and translational implications of the caveolin gene family: lessons from mouse models and human genetic disorders. Lab Invest 2009; 89(6):614-623.
- (129) Martinez Outschoorn UE, Balliet RM, Rivadeneira DB, Chiavarina B, Pavlides S, Wang C et al. Oxidative stress in cancer associated fibroblasts drives tumor-stroma co-evolution: A new paradigm for understanding tumor metabolism, the field effect and genomic instability in cancer cells. Cell Cycle 2010; 9(16).
- (130) Li T, Sotgia F, Vuolo MA, Li M, Yang WC, Pestell RG et al. Caveolin-1 Mutations in Human Breast Cancer: Functional Association with Estrogen Receptor {alpha} -Positive Status. Am J Pathol 2006; 168(6):1998-2013.
- (131) Feng S, Wang Y, Wang X, Wang Z, Cui Y, Liu J et al. Caveolin-1 gene silencing promotes the activation of PI3K/AKT dependent on Eralpha36 and the transformation of MCF10ACE. Sci China Life Sci 2010; 53(5):598-605.
- (132) Campbell L, Jasani B, Edwards K, Gumbleton M, Griffiths DF. Combined expression of caveolin-1 and an activated AKT/mTOR pathway predicts reduced disease-free survival in clinically confined renal cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer 2008; 98(5):931-940.
- (133) Li L, Ren CH, Tahir SA, Ren C, Thompson TC. Caveolin-1 maintains activated Akt in prostate cancer cells through scaffolding domain binding site interactions with and inhibition of serine/threonine protein phosphatases PP1 and PP2A. Mol Cell Biol 2003; 23(24):9389-9404.
- (134) Williams TM, Hassan GS, Li J, Cohen AW, Medina F, Frank PG et al. Caveolin-1 Promotes Tumor Progression in an Autochthonous Mouse Model of Prostate Cancer. J Biol Chem 2005; 280(26):25134-25145.
- (135) Goetz JG, Minguet S, Navarro-Lérida I, Lazcano JJ, Samaniego R, Calvo E et al. Biomechanical Remodeling of the Microenvironment by Stromal Caveolin-1 Favors Tumor Invasion and Metastasis. Cell 146[1], 148-163. 8-7-2011. Ref Type: Abstract
- (136) Salem AF, Bonuccelli G, Bevilacqua G, Arafat HA, Pestell RG, Sotgia F et al. Caveolin-1 promotes pancreatic cancer cell differentiation and restores membranous E-cadherin via suppression of the epithelial -mesenchymal transition. Cell Cycle 2011; 10(21).

- (137) Boyanapalli M, Lahoud OB, Messiaen L, Kim B, nderle de Sylor MS, Duckett SJ et al. Neurofibromin binds to caveolin-1 and regulates ras, FAK, and Akt. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2006; 340(4):1200-1208.
- (138) Kikani CK, Dong LQ, Liu F. "New"-clear functions of PDK1: beyond a master kinase in the cytosol? J Cell Biochem 2005; 96(6):1157-1162.
- (139) Liu P, Cheng H, Roberts TM, Zhao JJ. Targeting the phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway in cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009; 8(8):627-644.
- (140) Inoki K, Ouyang H, Zhu T, Lindvall C, Wang Y, Zhang X et al. TSC2 integrates Wnt and energy signals via a coordinated phosphorylation by AMPK and GSK3 to regulate cell growth. Cell 2006; 126(5):955-968.
- (141) Dowling RJO, Zakikhani M, Fantus IG, Pollak M, Sonenberg N. Metformin Inhibits Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Dependent Translation Initiation in Breast Cancer Cells. Cancer Res 2007; 67(22):10804-10812.
- (142) Buller CL, Loberg RD, Fan MH, Zhu Q, Park JL, Vesely E et al. A GSK-3/TSC2/mTOR pathway regulates glucose uptake and GLUT1 glucose transporter expression. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2008; 295(3):C836-C843.
- (143) Gwinn DM, Shackelford DB, Egan DF, Mihaylova MM, Mery A, Vasquez DS et al. AMPK Phosphorylation of Raptor Mediates a Metabolic Checkpoint. Molecular cell 30[2], 214-226. 25-4-2008. Ref Type: Abstract
- (144) Shaw RJ. LKB1 and AMP-activated protein kinase control of mTOR signalling and growth. Acta Physiologica 2009; 196(1):65-80.
- (145) Pouyssegur J, Dayan F, Mazure NM. Hypoxia signalling in cancer and approaches to enforce tumour regression. Nature 2006; 441(7092):437-443.
- (146) Vacca F, Bagnato A, Catt KJ, Tecce R. Transactivation of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor in Endothelin-1-induced Mitogenic Signaling in Human Ovarian Carcinoma Cells. Cancer Res 2000; 60(18):5310-5317.
- (147) van der Weyden L, Adams DJ. The Ras-association domain family (RASSF) members and their role in human tumourigenesis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Cancer 2007; 1776(1):58-85.
- (148) Avruch J, Xavier R, Bardeesy N, Zhang XF, Praskova M, Zhou D et al. Rassf family of tumor suppressor polypeptides. J Biol Chem 2009; 284(17):11001-11005.
- (149) Ellenbroek S, Collard J. Rho GTPases: functions and association with cancer. Clinical and Experimental Metastasis 2007; 24(8):657-672.
- (150) Datta SR, Dudek H, Tao X, Masters S, Fu H, Gotoh Y et al. Akt phosphorylation of BAD couples survival signals to the cell-intrinsic death machinery. Cell 1997; 91(2):231-241.

- (151) Downward J. Pl 3-kinase, Akt and cell survival. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2004; 15(2):177-182.
- (152) Maiese K. The "O" Class: Crafting Clinical Care with FoxO Transcription Factors. In: Maiese K, editor. 2009.
- (153) Li SN, Wang X, Zeng QT, Feng YB, Cheng X, Mao XB et al. Metformin inhibits nuclear factor + | B activation and decreases serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein level in experimental atherogenesis of rabbits. Heart and Vessels 2009; 24(6):446-453.
- (154) Iliopoulos D, Jaeger SA, Hirsch HA, Bulyk ML, Struhl K. STAT3 Activation of miR-21 and miR 181b-1 via PTEN and CYLD Are Part of the Epigenetic Switch Linking Inflammation to Cancer. Molecular cell 39[4], 493-506. 27-8-2010. Ref Type: Abstract
- (155) McCarty MF. Metformin may ant agonize Lin28 and/or Lin28B activity, thereby boosting let-7 levels and antagonizing cancer progression. Medical Hypotheses 2011;(0).
- (156) Hattori Y, Suzuki K, Hattori S, Kasai K. Metformin Inhibits Cytokine-Induced Nuclear Factor {kappa}B Activation Via AMP-Activated Protein Kinase Activation in Vascular Endothelial Cells. Hypertension 2006; 47(6):1183-1188.
- (157) Han SS, Yun H, Son DJ, Tompkins V, Peng L, Chung ST et al. NF-kappaB/STAT3/PI3K signaling crosstalk in iMycEmu B lymphoma. Molecular Cancer 2010; 9(1):97.
- (158) Madge LA, Pober JS. A phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt pathway, activated by tumor necrosis factor or interleukin-1, inhibits apoptosis but does not activate NFkappaB in human endothelial cells. J Biol Chem 2000; 275(20):15458-15465.
- (159) Anfossi G, Russo I, Doronzo G, Trovati M. Contribution of insulin resistance to vascular dysfunction. Arch Physiol Biochem 2009; 115(4):199-217.
- (160) Ballou LM, Luther H, Thomas G. MAP2 kinase and 70K S6 kinase lie on distinct signalling pathways. Nature 1991; 349(6307):348-350.
- (161) Pullen N, Thomas G. The modular phosphorylation and activation of p70s6k. FEBS Letters 1997; 410(1):78-82.
- (162) Dutton A, Reynolds GM, Dawson CW, Young LS, Murray PG. Constitutive activation of phosphatidyl-inositide 3 kinase contributes to the survival of Hodgkin's lymphoma cells through a mechanism involving Akt kinase and mTOR. J Pathol 2005; 205:498-506.
- (163) Berstein L, Yue W, Wang JP, Santen R. Isolated and combined action of tamoxifen and metformin in wild-type, tamoxifen-resistant, and estrogen-deprived MCF-7 cells. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2010;1-9.
- (164) Vazquez-Martin A, Oliveras-Ferraros C, Menendez JA. The antidiabetic drug metformin suppresses HER2 (erbB-2) oncoprotein overexpression via inhibition of the mTOR effector p70S6K1 in human breast carcinoma cells. Cell Cycle 2009; 8(1):88-96.

- (165) Sabatini DM. mTOR and cancer: insights into a complex relationship. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6(9):729-734.
- (166) Darb-Esfahani S, Faggad A, Noske A, Weichert W, Buckendahl AC, M++Iler B et al. PhosphomTOR and phospho-4EBP1 in endometrial adenocarcinoma: association with stage and grade in vivo and link with response to rapamycin treatment in vitro. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology 2009; 135(7):933-941.
- (167) Treins C, Murdaca J, Obberghen EV, Giorgetti-Peraldi S. AMPK activation inhibits the expression of HIF-1[alpha] induced by insulin and IGF-1. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2006; 342(4):1197-1202.
- (168) Takiyama Y, Harumi T, Watanabe J, Fujita Y, Honjo J, Shimizu N et al. Tubular Injury in a Rat Model of Type 2 Diabetes Is Prevented by Metformin: A Possible Role of Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1{alpha} Expression and Oxygen Metabolism. Diabetes 2011.
- (169) Spinella F, Rosan+ L, Del Duca M, Di Castro V, Nicotra MR, Natali PG et al. Endothelin 1 Inhibits Prolyl Hydroxylase Domain 2 to Activate Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1+ in Melanoma Cells. PLoS ONE 2010; 5(6):e11241.
- (170) Grant K, Knowles J, Dawas K, Burnstock G, Taylor I, Loizidou M. Mechanisms of endothelin 1stimulated proliferation in colorectal cancer cell lines. Br J Surg 2007; 94(1):106-112.
- (171) Jacinto E, Loewith R, Schmidt A, Lin S, Ruegg MA, Hall A et al. Mammalian TOR complex 2 controls the actin cytoskeleton and is rapamycin insensitive. Nat Cell Biol 2004; 6(11):1122-1128.
- (172) Inoki K, Guan KL. Complexity of the TOR signaling network. Trends in Cell Biology 2006; 16(4):206-212.
- (173) Nakashima S. Protein Kinase C+¦ (PKC+¦): Regulation and Biological Function. Journal of Biochemistry 2002; 132(5):669-675.
- (174) Liang J, Slingerland JM. Multiple roles of the PI3K/PKB (Akt) pathway in cell cycle progression. Cell Cycle 2003; 2(4):339-345.
- (175) Jones RG, Plas DR, Kubek S, Buzzai M, Mu J, Xu Y et al. AMP -activated protein kinase induces a p53-dependent metabolic checkpoint. Mol Cell 2005; 18:283-293.
- (176) Barco SD, Vazquez-Martin A, Cufi S, Oliveras-Ferraros C, Bosch-Barrera J, Joven J et al. Metformin: Multi-faceted protection against cancer. Oncotarget 2011.
- (177) Zhuang Y, Miskimins WK. Cell cycle arrest in Metformin treated breast cancer cells involves activation of AMPK, downregulation of cyclin D1, and requires p27Kip1 or p21Cip1. Journal of Molecular Signaling 2008; 3(1):18.
- (178) Ben Sahra I, Laurent K, Loubat A, Giorgetti-Peraldi S, Colosetti P, Auberger P et al. The antidiabetic drug metformin exerts an antitumoral effect in vitro and in vivo through a decrease of cyclin D1 level. Oncogene 2008; 27(25):3576-3586.

- (179) Alimova IN, Liu B, Fan Z, Edgerton SM, Dillon T, Lind SE et al. Metformin inhibits breast cancer cell growth, colony formation and induces cell cycle arrest in vitro. Cell Cycle 2009; 8(6):909-915.
- (180) Isakovic A, Harhaji L, Stevanovic D, Markovic Z, Sumarac-Dumanovic M, Starcevic V et al. Dual antiglioma action of metformin: cell cycle arrest and mitochondria-dependent apoptosis. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 2007; 64(10):1290-1302.
- (181) Bhatia B, Nahle Z, Kenney AM. Double trouble: when sonic hedgehog signaling meets TSC inactivation. Cell Cycle 2010; 9(3):456-459.
- (182) Roy S, Srivastava R, Shankar S. Inhibition of PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK pathways causes activation of FOXO transcription factor, leading to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in pancreatic cancer. Journal of Molecular Signaling 2010; 5(1):10.
- (183) Chen XG, Liu F, Song XF, Wang ZH, Dong ZQ, Hu ZQ et al. Rapamycin regulates Akt and ERK phosphorylation through mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling pathways. Mol Carcinog 2010; 49(6):603-610.
- (184) Fingar DC, Richardson CJ, Tee AR, Cheatham L, Tsou C, Blenis J. mTOR Controls Cell Cycle Progression through Its Cell Growth Effectors S6K1 and 4E-BP1/Eukaryotic Translation Initiation Factor 4E. Mol Cell Biol 2004; 24(1):200-216.
- (185) Yoon MJ, Lee GY, Chung JJ, Ahn YH, Hong SH, Kim JB. Adiponectin Increases Fatty Acid Oxidation in Skeletal Muscle Cells by Sequential Activation of AMP-Activated Protein Kinase, p38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase, and Peroxisome Proliferator ΓÇôActivated Receptor + |. Diabetes 2006; 55(9):2562-2570.
- (186) Boyle JG, Logan PJ, Jones GC, Small M, Sattar N, Connell JM et al. AMP-activated protein kinase is activated in adipose tissue of individuals with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin: a randomised glycaemia controlled crossover study. Diabetologia 2011.
- (187) Ravnskjaer K, Boergesen M, Dalgaard LT, Mandrup S. Glucose-induced repression of PPAR{alpha} gene expression in pancreatic {beta}-cells involves PP2A activation and AMPK inactivation. J Mol Endocrinol 2006; 36(2):289-299.
- (188) Lee WJ, Kim M, Park HS, Kim HS, Jeon MJ, Oh KS et al. AMPK activation increases fatty acid oxidation in skeletal muscle by activating PPAR[alpha] and PGC-1. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2006; 340(1):291-295.
- (189) Chan AYM, Soltys CL, Young ME, Proud CG, Dyck JRB. Activation of AMP-activated Protein Kinase Inhibits Protein Synthesis Associated with Hypertrophy in the Cardiac Myocyte. J Biol Chem 2004; 279(31):32771-32779.
- (190) Wang Q, Cai Y, Van de Casteele M, Pipeleers D, Ling Z. Interaction of glibenclamide and metformin at the level of translation in pancreatic {beta} cells. J Endocrinol 2011; 208(2):161-169.

- (191) Wong AKF, Howie J, Petrie JR, Lang CC. AMP -activated protein kinase pathway: a potential therapeutic target in cardiometabolic disease. Clinical Science 2009; 116(8):607-620.
- (192) Sancak Y, Bar-Peled L, Zoncu R, Markhard AL, Nada S, Sabatini DM. Ragulator-Rag Complex Targets mTORC1 to the Lysosomal Surface and Is Necessary for Its Activation by Amino Acids. Cell 2010; 141(2):290-303.
- (193) Ben Sahra I, Regazzetti C, ROBERT G, Laurent K, Le Marchand Brustel Y, Auberger P et al. Metformin, independent of AMPK, induces mTOR inhibition and cell cycle arrest through REDD1. Cancer Res 2011.
- (194) Thériault JR, Palmer HJ, Pittman DD. Inhibition of the Unfolded Protein Response by metformin in renal proximal tubular epithelial cells. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2011; In Press, Uncorrected Proof.
- (195) Zakikhani M, Dowling R, Fantus IG, Sonenberg N, Pollak M. Metformin is an AMP kinasedependent growth inhibitor for breast cancer cells. Cancer Res 2006; 66:10269-10273.
- (196) Yasmeen A, Beauchamp MC, Piura E, Segal E, Pollak M, Gotlieb WH. Induction of apoptosis by metformin in epithelial ovarian cancer: Involvement of the Bcl-2 family proteins. Gynecologic Oncology 2011; In Press, Corrected Proof.
- (197) Foretz M, Hebrard S, Leclerc J, Zarrinpashneh E, Soty M, Mithieux G et al. Metformin inhibits hepatic gluconeogenesis in mice independently of the LKB1/AMPK pathway via a decrease in hepatic energy state. J Clin Invest 2010; 120(7):2355-2369.
- (198) Ota S, Horigome K, Ishii T, Nakai M, Hayashi K, Kawamura T et al. Metformin suppresses glucose-6-phosphatase expression by a complex I inhibition and AMPK activation-independent mechanism. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2009; 388(2):311-316.
- (199) Miller RA, Chu Q, Xie J, Foretz M, Viollet B, Birnbaum MJ. Biguanides suppress hepatic glucagon signalling by decreasing production of cyclic AMP. Nature 2013; advance online publication.
- (200) Park SW, Zhou Y, Lee J, Lu A, Sun C, Chung J et al. The regulatory subunits of PI3K, p85[alpha] and p85[beta], interact with XBP-1 and increase its nuclear translocation. Nat Med 2010; 16(4):429-437.
- (201) Saito S, Furuno A, Sakurai J, Sakamoto A, Park HR, Shin-ya K et al. Chemical Genomics Identifies the Unfolded Protein Response as a Target for Selective Cancer Cell Killing during Glucose Deprivation. Cancer Res 2009; 69(10):4225-4234.
- (202) Saito S, Tomida A. Use of Chemical Genomics in Assessment of the UPR. Methods Enzymol 2011; 491:327-341.
- (203) Garcia A, Tisman G. Metformin, B12, and Enhanced Breast Cancer Response to Chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28(2):e19.

- (204) Goldhirsch A, Gelber RD, Tattersall MN, Rudenstam CM, Cavalli F. Methotrexate/nitrous-oxide toxic interaction in perioperative chemotherapy for early breast cancer. Lancet 1987; 2(8551):151.
- (205) Fu Yn, Xiao H, Ma Xw, Jiang Sy, Xu M, Zhang YY. Metformin attenuates pressure overloadinduced cardiac hypertrophy via AMPK activation. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2011.
- (206) Kickstein E, Krauss S, Thornhill P, Rutschow D+, Zeller R, Sharkey J et al. Biguanide metformin acts on tau phosphorylation via mTOR/protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2010.
- (207) Sotgia F, Martinez-Outschoorn U, Lisanti M. Mitochondrial Oxidative Stress Drives Tumor Progression and Metastasis: Should We Use Antioxidants As A Key Component of Cancer Treatment and Prevention? BMC Medicine 2011; 9(1):62.
- (208) Goligorsky MS, Li H, Brodsky S, Chen J. Relationships between caveolae and eNOS: everything in proximity and the proximity of everything. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2002; 283(1):F1-10.
- (209) Martinez Outschoorn UE, Prisco M, Ertel A, Tsirigos A, Lin Z, Pavlides S et al. Ketones and lactate increase cancer cell "stemness," driving recurrence, metastasis, and poor clinical outcome in breast cancer: Achieving personalized medicine via Metabolo-Genomics. Cell Cycle 2011; 10(8).
- (210) Salani B, Maffioli S, Hamoudane M, Parodi A, Ravera S, Passalacqua M et al. Caveolin-1 is essential for metformin inhibitory effect on IGF1 action in non-small-cell lung cancer cells. FASEB J 2011.
- (211) Hou X, Song J, Li XN, Zhang L, Wang X, Chen L et al. Metformin reduces intracellular reactive oxygen species levels by upregulating expression of the antioxidant thioredoxin via the AMPK-FOXO3 pathway. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2010; 396(2):199-205.
- (212) Detaille D, Guigas B, Chauvin C, Batandier C+, Fontaine E, Wiernsperger N et al. Metformin Prevents High-GlucoseΓÇôInduced Endothelial Cell Death Through a Mitochondri al Permeability Transition-Dependent Process. Diabetes 2005; 54(7):2179-2187.
- (213) An D, Kewalramani G, Chan J, Qi D, Ghosh S, Pulinilkunnil T et al. Metformin influences cardiomyocyte cell death by pathways that are dependent and independent of caspase-3. Diabetologia 2006; 49(9):2174-2184.
- (214) Hoesel B, Schmid J. The complexity of NF-kappaB signaling in inflammation and cancer. Molecular Cancer 2013; 12(1):86.
- (215) Tan W, Zhang W, Strasner A, Grivennikov S, Cheng JQ, Hoffman RM et al. Tumour-infiltrating regulatory T cells stimulate mammary cancer metastasis through RANKL-RANK signalling. Nature 2011; 470(7335):548-553.
- (216) Mai Q, Zhang Z, Xu S, Lu M, Zhou R, Zhao L et al. Metformin stimulates osteoprotegerin and reduces RANKL expression in osteoblasts and ovariectomized rats. J Cell Biochem 2011;n/a.

- (217) Levy DE, Inghirami G. STAT3: a multifaceted oncogene. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006; 103(27):10151-10152.
- (218) Deng XS, Wang S, Deng A, Liu B, Edgerton SM, Lind SE et al. Metformin targets Stat3 to inhibit cell growth and induce apoptosis in triple-negative breast cancers. Cell Cycle 2012; 11(2).
- (219) Lund SS, Tarnow L, Stehouwer CDA, Schalkwijk CG, Teerlink T, Gram J et al. Impact of metformin versus repaglinide on non-glycaemic cardiovascular risk markers related to inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in non-obese patients with type 2 diabetes. Eur J Endocrinol 2008; 158(5):631-641.
- (220) Jung YJ, Isaacs JS, Lee S, Trepel J, Neckers L. IL-1beta-mediated up-regulation of HIF-1alpha via an NFkappaB/COX-2 pathway identifies HIF-1 as a critical link between inflammation and oncogenesis. FASEB J 2003; 17(14):2115-2117.
- (221) Huang NL, Chiang SH, Hsueh CH, Liang YJ, Chen YJ, Lai LP. Metformin inhibits TNF -[alpha]induced I[kappa]B kinase phosphorylation, I[kappa]B-[alpha] degradation and IL-6 production in endothelial cells through PI3K-dependent AMPK phosphorylation. International Journal of Cardiology 2009; 134(2):169-175.
- (222) Jeong HW, Hsu KC, Lee JW, Ham M, Huh JY, Shin HJ et al. Berberine suppresses proinflammatory responses through AMPK activation in macrophages. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2009; 296(4):E955-E964.
- (223) Tsoyi K, Jang HJ, Nizamutdinova IT, Kim YM, Lee YS, Kim HJ et al. Metformin inhibits HMGB1 release in LPS-treated RAW 264.7 cells and increases survival rate of endotoxaemic mice. Br J Pharmacol 2010.
- (224) Labuzek K, Liber S, Gabryel B, Okopien B. Metformin has adenosine-monophosphate activated protein kinase (AMPK)-independent effects on LPS-stimulated rat primary microglial cultures. Pharmacol Rep 2010; 62(5):827-848.
- (225) Jiang CQ, Lam TH, Liu B, Lin JM, Yue XJ, Jin YL et al. Interleukin-6 Receptor Gene Polymorphism Modulates Interleukin-6 Levels and the Metabolic Syndrome: GBCS-CVD. Obesity 2010; 18(10):1969-1974.
- (226) Pearce EL, Walsh MC, Cejas PJ, Harms GM, Shen H, Wang LS et al. Enhancing CD8 T-cell memory by modulating fatty acid metabolism. Nature 2009; 460(7251):103-107.
- (227) Dilman VM, Berstein LM, Ostroumova MN, Fedorov SN, Poroshina TE, Tsyrlina EV et al. Metabolic immunodepression and metabolic immunotherapy: an attempt of improvement in immunologic response in breast cancer patients by correction of metabolic disturbances. Oncology 1982; 39(1):13-19.
- (228) Anisimov VN. Insulin/IGF-1 signaling pathway driving aging and cancer as a target for pharmacological intervention. Experimental Gerontology 2003; 38(10):1041-1049.

- (229) Minor RK, Allard JS, Younts CM, Ward TM, de Cabo R. Dietary Interventions to Extend Life Span and Health Span Based on Calorie Restriction. The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences 2010; 65A(7):695-703.
- (230) Cufi S, Corominas-Faja B, Lopez-Bonet E, Bonavia R, Pernas S, varez Lopez I et al. Dietary restriction resistant human tumors harboring the PIK3CA-activating mutation H1047R are sensitive to metformin. Oncotarget; Vol 4, No 9: September 2013 2013.
- (231) Anisimov VN, Berstein LM, Egormin PA, Piskunova TS, Popovich IG, Zabezhinski MA et al. Metformin slows down aging and extends life span of female SHR mice. Cell Cycle 2008; 7(17):2769-2773.
- (232) Anisimov VN, Berstein LM, Popovich IG, Zabezhinski MA, Egormin PA, Piskunova TS et al. If started early in life, metformin treatment increases life span and postpones tumors in female SHR mice. Aging (Albany NY) 2011; 3(2):148-157.
- (233) Anisimov V, Sikora E, Pawelec G. Relationships between cancer and aging: a multilevel approach. Biogerontology 2009; 10(4):323-338.
- (234) Cantrell LA, Zhou C, Mendivil A, Malloy KM, Gehrig PA, Bae -Jump VL. Metformin is a potent inhibitor of endometrial cancer cell proliferation--implications for a novel treatment strategy. Gynecologic Oncology 2010; In Press, Corrected Proof.
- (235) Zhou XZ, Xue YM, Zhu B, Sha JP. [Effects of metformin on proliferation of human colon carcinoma cell line SW-480.]. Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao 2010; 30(8):1935-1938.
- (236) Evan GI, Vousden KH. Proliferation, cell cycle and apoptosis in cancer. Nature 2001; 411(6835):342-348.
- (237) Fu J, Chen Y, Cao J, Luo T, Qian YW, Yang W et al. p28GANK overexpression accelerates hepatocellular carcinoma invasiveness and metastasis via phosphoinositol 3kinase/AKT/hypoxia inducible factor-1α pathways. Hepatology 2011; 53(1):181-192.
- (238) Nishikori M. Classical and Alternative NF-kB Activation Pathways and Their Roles in Lymphoid Malignancies. J.Clin.Exp.Hematopathol . 2005. Ref Type: Generic
- (239) Connelly L, Barham W, Onishko H, Chen L, Sherrill T, Zabuwala T et al. NF-kappaB activation within macrophages leads to an anti-tumor phenotype in a mammary tumor lung metastasis model. Breast Cancer Research 2011; 13(4):R83.
- (240) Eli P, Yinon B. NF-+ B inhibition: A double-edged sword in cancer? European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990) 42[6], 779-784. 1-4-2006. Ref Type: Abstract
- (241) Jing H, Kase J, Dorr JR, Milanovic M, Lenze D, Grau M et al. Opposing roles of NF-{kappa}B in anti-cancer treatment outcome unveiled by cross-species investigations. Genes Dev 2011; 25(20):2137-2146.

- (242) Cheng Z, Hu L, Fu W, Zhang Q, Liao X. Expression of survivin and its splice variants in gastric cancer. Journal of Huazhong University of Science and Technology -- Medical Sciences -- 2007; 27(4):393-398.
- (243) Li F. Role of survivin and its splice variants in tumorigenesis. Br J Cancer 2004; 92(2):212-216.
- (244) Lechler P, Renkawitz T, Campean V, Balakrishnan S, Tingart M, Grifka J et al. The antiapoptotic gene survivin is highly expressed in human chondrosarcoma and promotes drug resistance in chondrosarcoma cells in vitro. BMC Cancer 2011; 11(1):120.
- (245) Krieg A, Mahotka C, Krieg T, Grabsch H, Muller W, Takeno S et al. Expression of different survivin variants in gastric carcinomas: first clues to a role of survivin-2B in tumour progression. Br J Cancer 2002; 86(5):737-743.
- (246) Okada H, Mak TW. Pathways of apoptotic and non-apoptotic death in tumour cells. Nat Rev Cancer 2004; 4(8):592-603.
- (247) Jin Q, Feng L, Behrens C, Bekele BN, Wistuba II, Hong WK et al. Implication of AMP-Activated Protein Kinase and Akt-Regulated Survivin in Lung Cancer Chemopreventive Activities of Deguelin. Cancer Res 2007; 67(24):11630-11639.
- (248) Vazquez-Martin A, Oliveras-Ferraros C, del Barco S, Martin-Castillo B, Menendez JA. The antidiabetic drug metformin: a pharmaceutical AMPK activator to overcome breast cancer resistance to HER2 inhibitors while decreasing risk of cardiomyopathy. Ann Oncol 2009; 20(3):592-595.
- (249) Xavier DO, Amaral LS, Gomes MA, Rocha MA, Campos PR, Cota BDCV et al. Metformin inhibits inflammatory angiogenesis in a murine sponge model. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy 2010; 64(3):220-225.
- (250) Tan BK, Adya R, Chen J, Farhatullah S, Heutling D, Mitchell D et al. Metformin decreases angiogenesis via NF-κB and Erk1/2/Erk5 pathways by increasing the antiangiogenic thrombospondin-1. Cardiovascular Research 2009; 83(3):566-574.
- (251) Phoenix KN, Vumbaca F, Claffey KP. Therapeutic metformin/AMPK activation promotes the angiogenic phenotype in the ERalpha negative MDA-MB-435 breast cancer model. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2009; 113(1):101-111.
- (252) Christgen M, Lehmann U. MDA-MB-435: the questionable use of a melanoma cell line as a model for human breast cancer is ongoing. Cancer Biol Ther 2007; 6(9):1355-1357.
- (253) Rae J, Creighton C, Meck J, Haddad B, Johnson M. MDA-MB-435 cells are derived from M14 Melanoma cells – a loss for breast cancer, but a boon for melanoma research. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2007; 104(1):13-19.
- (254) Niehr F, von Euw E, Attar N, Guo D, Matsunaga D, Sazegar H et al. Combination therapy with vemurafenib (PLX4032/RG7204) and metformin in melanoma cell lines with distinct driver mutations. Journal of Translational Medicine 2011; 9(1):76.

- (255) Milane L, Duan Z, Amiji M. Role of Hypoxia and Glycolysis in the Development of Multi-Drug Resistance in Human Tumor Cells and the Establishment of an Orthotopic Multi-Drug Resistant Tumor Model in Nude Mice using Hypoxic Pre-Conditioning. Cancer Cell International 2011; 11(1):3.
- (256) Hwang YP, Jeong HG. Metformin blocks migration and invasion of tumour cells by inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase-9 activation through a calcium and protein kinase C+¦-dependent pathway: phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate -induced/extracellular signal-regulated kinase/activator protein-1. British Journal of Pharmacology 2010; 160(5):1195-1211.
- (257) Bautch VL. Cancer: Tumour stem cells switch sides. Nature 2010; 468(7325):770-771.
- (258) Hendrix MJC, Seftor EA, Hess AR, Seftor REB. Vasculogenic mimicry and tumour-cell plasticity: lessons from melanoma. Nat Rev Cancer 2003; 3(6):411-421.
- (259) Ricci Vitiani L, Pallini R, Biffoni M, Todaro M, Invernici G, Cenci T et al. Tumour vascularization via endothelial differentiation of glioblastoma stem-like cells. Nature 2010; 468(7325):824 828.
- (260) Wang R, Chadalavada K, Wilshire J, Kowalik U, Hovinga KE, Geber A et al. Glioblastoma stem like cells give rise to tumour endothelium. Nature 2010; advance online publication.
- (261) Lim MA, Yang L, Zheng Y, Wu H, Dong LQ, Liu F. Roles of PDK-1 and PKN in regulating cell migration and cortical actin formation of PTEN-knockout cells. Oncogene 2004; 23(58):9348-9358.
- (262) Beckner ME, Gobbel GT, Abounader R, Burovic F, Agostino NR, Laterra J et al. Glycolytic glioma cells with active glycogen synthase are sensitive to PTEN and inhibitors of PI3K and gluconeogenesis. Lab Invest 2005; 85(12):1457-1470.
- (263) Visvader JE. Cells of origin in cancer. Nature 2011; 469(7330):314-322.
- (264) Bleau AM, Hambardzumyan D, Ozawa T, Fomchenko EI, Huse JT, Brennan CW et al. PTEN/PI3K/Akt Pathway Regulates the Side Population Phenotype and ABCG2 Activity in Glioma Tumor Stem-like Cells. Cell Stem Cell 4[3], 226-235. 6-3-2009. Ref Type: Abstract
- (265) Nguyen NP, Almeida FS, Chi A, Nguyen LM, Cohen D, Karlsson U et al. Molecular biology of breast cancer stem cells: Potential clinical applications. Cancer Treatment Reviews 2010; In Press, Corrected Proof.
- (266) Bonnet D, Dick JE. Human acute myeloid leukemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell. Nat Med 1997; 3(7):730-737.
- (267) Boiko AD, Razorenova OV, van de RM, Swetter SM, Johnson DL, Ly DP et al. Human melanoma-initiating cells express neural crest nerve growth factor receptor CD271. Nature 2010; 466(7302):133-137.

- (268) Matsui W, Wang Q, Barber JP, Brennan S, Smith BD, Borrello I et al. Clonogenic multiple myeloma progenitors, stem cell properties, and drug resistance. Cancer Res 2008; 68(1):190-197.
- (269) Singh SK, Clarke ID, Terasaki M, Bonn VE, Hawkins C, Squire J et al. Identification of a Cancer Stem Cell in Human Brain Tumors. Cancer Res 2003; 63(18):5821-5828.
- (270) AI-Hajj M, Wicha MS, ito-Hernandez A, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF. Prospective identification of tumorigen ic breast cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003; 100(7):3983-3988.
- (271) O'Brien CA, Pollett A, Gallinger S, Dick JE. A human colon cancer cell capable of initiating tumour growth in immunodeficient mice. Nature 2007; 445(7123):106-110.
- (272) Zhang S, Balch C, Chan MW, Lai HC, Matei D, Schilder JM et al. Identification and characterization of ovarian cancer-initiating cells from primary human tumors. Cancer Res 2008; 68(11):4311-4320.
- (273) Li C, Heidt DG, Dalerba P, Burant CF, Zhang L, Adsay V et al. Identification of Pancreatic Cancer Stem Cells. Cancer Res 2007; 67(3):1030-1037.
- (274) Lang SH, Frame FM, Collins AT. Prostate cancer stem cells. J Pathol 2009; 217(2):299-306.
- (275) Friel A, Zhang L, Curley M, Therrien V, Sergent P, Belden S e t al. Epigenetic regulation of CD133 and tumorigenicity of CD133 positive and negative endometrial cancer cells. Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology 2010; 8(1):147.
- (276) Kraljevic Pavelic S, Sedic M, Bosnjak H, Spaventi S, Pavelic K. Metastasis: new perspectives on an old problem. Molecular Cancer 2011; 10(1):22.
- (277) Baba T, Convery PA, Matsumura N, Whitaker RS, Kondoh E, Perry T et al. Epigenetic regulation of CD133 and tumorigenicity of CD133+ ovarian cancer cells. Oncogene 2008; 28(2):209-218.
- (278) Jamieson CH. Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Stem Cells. Hematology 2008; 2008(1):436-442.
- (279) Blick T, Hugo H, Widodo E, Waltham M, Pinto C, Mani S et al. Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition Traits in Human Breast Cancer Cell Lines Parallel the CD44^{hi/}CD24^{10/-}Stem Cell Phenotype in Human Breast Cancer. Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 2010; 15(2):235-252.
- (280) Vazquez-Martin A, Oliveras-Ferraros C, Cufi S, del BS, Martin-Castillo B, Lopez-Bonet E et al. The anti-diabetic drug metformin suppresses the metastasis-associated protein CD24 in MDA-MB-468 triple-negative breast cancer cells. Oncol Rep 2011; 25(1):135-140.
- (281) Vazquez-Martin A, Oliveras-Ferraros C, Barco S, Martin-Castillo Ba, Menendez J. The antidiabetic drug metformin suppresses self-renewal and proliferation of trastuzumab-resistant tumor-initiating breast cancer stem cells. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2010;1-10.

- (282) Iliopoulos D, Hirsch HA, Wang G, Struhl K. Inducible formation of breast cancer stem cells and their dynamic equilibrium with non-stem cancer cells via IL6 secretion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2011.
- (283) Hu C, Li H, Li J, Zhu Z, Yin S, Hao X et al. Analysis of ABCG2 expression and side population identifies intrinsic drug efflux in the HCC cell line MHCC-97L and its modulation by Akt signaling. Carcinogenesis 2008; 29(12):2289-2297.
- (284) Hirsch HA, Iliopoulos D, Tsichlis PN, Struhl K. Metformin Selectively Targets Cancer Stem Cells, and Acts Together with Chemotherapy to Block Tumor Growth and Prolong Remission. Cancer Res 2009; 69(19):7507-7511.
- (285) An Y, Ongkeko WM. ABCG2: the key to chemoresistance in cancer stem cells? Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2009; 5(12):1529-1542.
- (286) Liu YC, Liu HY, Yang HW, Wen T, Shang Y, Liu XD et al. Impaired expression and function of breast cancer resistance protein (Bcrp) in brain cortex of streptozocin-induced diabetic rats. Biochemical Pharmacology 2007; 74(12):1766-1772.
- (287) Takada T, Suzuki H, Gotoh Y, Sugiyama Y. Regulation of the Cell Surface Expression of Human BCRP/ABCG2 by the Phosphorylation State of AKT in Polarized Cells. Drug Metabolism and Disposition 2005; 33(7):905-909.
- (288) Mogi M, Yang J, Lambert JF, Colvin GA, Shiojima I, Skurk C et al. Akt Signaling Regulates Side Population Cell Phenotype via Bcrp1 Translocation. J Biol Chem 2003; 278(40):39068-39075.
- (289) Lv Ws, Wen Jp, Li L, Sun Rx, Wang J, Xian Yx et al. The effect of metformin on food intake and its potential role in hypothalamic regulation in obese diabetic rats. Brain Research 2012;(0).
- (290) Lopez JP, Wang-Rodriguez J, Chang C, Chen JS, Pardo FS, Aguilera J et al. Gefitinib Inhibition of Drug Resistance to Doxorubicin by Inactivating ABCG2 in Thyroid Cancer Cell Lines. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2007; 133(10):1022-1027.
- (291) Singh A, Settleman J. EMT, cancer stem cells and drug resistance: an emerging axis of evil in the war on cancer. Oncogene 2010; 29(34):4741-4751.
- (292) Krantz SB, Shields MA, Dangi-Garimella S, Bentram DJ, Munshi HG. Contribution of Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition to Pancreatic Cancer Progression. Cancers 2010; 2:2087-2097.
- (293) Wendt MK, Smith JA, Schiemann WP. Transforming growth factor-[beta]-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition facilitates epidermal growth factor-dependent breast cancer progression. Oncogene 2010; 29(49):6485-6498.
- (294) Cufi S, Vazquez-Martin A, Oliveras-Ferraros C, Martin-Castillo B, Joven J, Menendez JA. Metformin against TGFbeta-induced epithelial -to-mesenchymal transition (EMT): From cancer stem cells to aging-associated fibrosis. Cell Cycle 2010; 9(22):4461-4468.

- (295) Rosano L, Cianfrocca R, Spinella F, Di Castro V, Nicotra MR, Lucidi A et al. Acquisition of chemoresistance and EMT phenotype is linked with activation of the endothelin A receptor pathway in ovarian carcinoma cells. Clin Cancer Res 2011.
- (296) Cho HJ, Baek KE, Saika S, Jeong MJ, Yoo J. Snail is required for transforming growth factor-[beta]-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition by activating PI3 kinase/Akt signal pathway. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2007; 353(2):337-343.
- (297) Zhou B, Li J. Activation of beta-catenin and Akt Pathways by Twist are Critical for the Maintenance of EMT Associated Cancer Stem Cell-like Characters. BMC Cancer 2011; 11(1):49.
- (298) Chang LH, Chen CH, Huang DY, Pai HC, Pan SL, Teng CM. Thrombin induces expression of twist and cell motility via the hypoxia-inducible factor-1+¦ translational pathway in colorectal cancer cells. J Cell Physiol 2011; 226(4):1060-1068.
- (299) Takatani T, Minagawa M, Takatani R, Kinoshita K, Kohno Y. AMP-activated protein kinase attenuates Wnt/[beta]-catenin signaling in human osteoblastic Saos-2 cells. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 2011; In Press, Uncorrected Proof.
- (300) Yang MH, Chang SY, Chiou SH, Liu CJ, Chi CW, Chen PM et al. Overexpression of NBS1 induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition and co-expression of NBS1 and Snail predicts metastasis of head and neck cancer. Oncogene 2006; 26(10):1459-1467.
- (301) Ng TL, Leprivier G, Robertson MD, Chow C, Martin MJ, Laderoute KR et al. The AMPK stress response pathway mediates anoikis resistance through inhibition of mTOR and suppression of protein synthesis. Cell Death Differ 2011.
- (302) Ledford H. Cancer theory faces doubts. Nature 2011; 472(7343):273.
- (303) Aktas B, Tewes M, Fehm T, Hauch S, Kimmig R, Kasimir-Bauer S. Stem cell and epithelial mesenchymal transition markers are frequently overexpressed in circulating tumor cells of metastatic breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Research 2009; 11(4):R46.
- (304) Tan BK, Adya R, Chen J, Lehnert H, Sant Cassia LJ, Randeva HS. Metformin Treatment Exerts Antiinvasive and Antimetastatic Effects in Human Endometrial Carcinoma Cells. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010;jc.
- (305) Warburg O. On the Origin of Cancer Cells. Science 1956; 123(3191):309-314.
- (306) Pelicano H, Xu RH, Du M, Feng L, Sasaki R, Carew JS et al. Mitochondrial respiration defects in cancer cells cause activation of Akt survival pathway through a redox-mediated mechanism. J Cell Biol 2006; 175(6):913-923.
- (307) Robey RB, Hay N. Is Akt the "Warburg kinase"?--Akt-energy metabolism interactions and oncogenesis. Seminars in Cancer Biology 2009; 19(1):25-31.
- (308) Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB. Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. Science 2009; 324(5930):1029-1033.

- (309) Kim JW, Dang CV. Cancer's molecular sweet tooth and the Warburg effect. Cancer Res 2006; 66(18):8927-8930.
- (310) Ruckenstuhl C, Buttner S, Carmona-Gutierrez D, Eisenberg T, Kroemer G, Sigrist SJ et al. The Warburg effect suppresses oxidative stress induced apoptosis in a yeast model for cancer. PLoS ONE 2009; 4(2):e4592.
- (311) Elstrom RL, Bauer DE, Buzzai M, Karnauskas R, Harris MH, Plas DR et al. Akt stimulates aerobic glycolysis in cancer cells. Cancer Res 2004; 64(11):3892-3899.
- (312) Mathupala SP, Ko YH, Pedersen PL. Hexokinase-2 bound to mitochondria: Cancer's stygian link to the "Warburg effect" and a pivotal target for effective therapy. Seminars in Cancer Biology 2009; 19(1):17-24.
- (313) Migneco G, Whitaker-Menezes D, Chiavarina B, Castello-Cros R, Pavlides S, Pestell RG et al. Glycolytic cancer associated fibroblasts promote breast cancer tumor growth, without a measurable increase in angiogenesis: Evidence for stromal-epithelial metabolic coupling. Cell Cycle 2010; 9(12).
- (314) Pavlides S, Whitaker-Menezes D, Castello-Cros R, Flomenberg N, Witkiewicz AK, Frank PG et al. The reverse Warburg effect: aerobic glycolysis in cancer associated fibroblasts and the tumor stroma. Cell Cycle 2009; 8(23):3984-4001.
- (315) Pavlides S, Tsirigos A, Vera I, Flomenberg N, Frank PG, Casimiro MC et al. Loss of stromal caveolin-1 leads to oxidative stress, mimics hypoxia and drives inflammation in the tumor microenvironment, conferring the "reverse Warburg effect": A transcriptional informatics analysis with validation. Cell Cycle 2010; 9(11).
- (316) Moreno-Sanchez R, Rodriguez-Enriquez S, Marin-Hernandez A, Saavedra E. Energy metabolism in tumor cells. FEBS Journal 2007; 274(6):1393-1418.
- (317) Kan Z, Jaiswal BS, Stinson J, Janakiraman V, Bhatt D, Stern HM et al. Diverse somatic mutation patterns and pathway alterations in human cancers. Nature 2010; 466(7308):869-873.
- (318) Decensi A, Puntoni M, Goodwin P, Cazzaniga M, Gennari A, Bonanni B et al. Metformin and Cancer Risk in Diabetic Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Cancer Prev Res 2010.
- (319) GoDARTS and UKPDS. Common variants near ATM are associated with glycemic response to metformin in type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 2010; advance online publication.
- (320) Birnbaum MJ, Shaw RJ. Genomics: Drugs, diabetes and cancer. Nature 2011; 470(7334):338-339.
- (321) Vigneri P, Frasca F, Sciacca L, Pandini G, Vigneri R. Diabetes and cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 2009;ERC-09.

- (322) Berstein LM, Boyarkina MP, Tsyrlina EV, Turkevich EA, Semiglazov VF. More favorable progesterone receptor phenotype of breast cancer in diabetics treated with metformin. Med Oncol 2010.
- (323) Berstein LM, Koskela A, Boyarkina MP, Adlercreutz H. Excretion of estrogens, catecholestrogens and phytoestrogens in carriers of BRCA1 gene mutations: effects of metformin. Neoplasma 2010; 57(4):333-338.
- (324) Anisimov VN, Egormin PA, Piskunova TS, Popovich IG, Tyndyk ML, Yurova MN et al. Metformin extends life span of HER-2/neu transgenic mice and in combination with melatonin inhibits growth of transplantable tumors in vivo. Cell Cycle 2010; 9(1):188-197.
- (325) Jiralerspong S, Palla SL, Giordano SH, Meric-Bernstam F, Liedtke C, Barnett CM et al. Metformin and Pathologic Complete Responses to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Diabetic Patients With Breast Cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27(20):3297-3302.
- (326) Wang Y, Zhang MX, Duan XY, Zhou SN, Ermek T, Wang YN et al. [Effects of antidiabetic drug metformin on human breast carcinoma cells with different estrogen receptor expressing in vitro.]. Xi Bao Yu Fen Zi Mian Yi Xue Za Zhi 2011; 27(3):253-256.
- (327) Janjetovic K, Vucice vic L, Misirkic M, Vilimanovich U, Tovilovic G, Zogovic N et al. Metformin reduces cisplatin-mediated apoptotic death of cancer cells through AMPK-independent activation of Akt. European Journal of Pharmacology 2010; In Press, Uncorrected Proof.
- (328) Tomic T, Botton T, Cerezo M, Robert G, Luciano F, Puissant A et al. Metformin inhibits melanoma development through autophagy and apoptosis mechanisms. Cell Death and Dis 2011; 2:e199.
- (329) Patel T, Hruby G, Badani K, bate -Shen C, McKiernan JM. Clinical Outcomes After Radical Prostatectomy in Diabetic Patients Treated With Metformin. Urology 2010; In Press, Corrected Proof.
- (330) Hosono K, Endo H, Takahashi H, Sugiyama M, Uchiyama T, Suzuki K et al. Metformin suppresses azoxymethane-induced colorectal aberrant crypt foci by activating AMP-activated protein kinase. Mol Carcinog 2010; 49(7):662-671.
- (331) Tomimoto A, Endo H, Sugiyama M, Fujisawa T, Hosono K, Takahashi H et al. Metformin suppresses intestinal polyp growth in ApcMin/+ mice. Cancer Sci 2008; 99(11):2136-2141.
- (332) Zhang Z, Dong L, Sui L, Yang Y, Liu X, Yu Y et al. Metformin Reverses Progestin Resistance in Endometrial Cancer Cells by Downregulating GloI Expression. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2011; 21(2):213-221.
- (333) Kim HG, Hien TT, Han EH, Hwang YP, Choi JH, Kang KW et al. Metformin inhibits P-glycoprotein expression via the NF-kappaB pathway and CRE transcriptional activity through AMPK activation. Br J Pharmacol 2010.
- (334) Common variants near ATM are associated with glycemic response to metformin in type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 2011; 43(2):117-120.

- (335) Sherman MH, Kuraishy AI, Deshpande C, Hong JS, Cacalano NA, Gatti RA et al. AID-Induced Genotoxic Stress Promotes B Cell Differentiation in the Germinal Center via ATM and LKB1 Signaling. Molecular cell 39[6], 873-885. 24-9-2010. Ref Type: Abstract
- (336) Fu X, Wan S, Lyu YL, Liu LF, Qi H. Etoposide Induces ATM-Dependent Mitochondrial Biogenesis through AMPK Activation. PLoS ONE 2008; 3(4):e2009.
- (337) Shu Y, She ardown SA, Brown C, Owen RP, Zhang S, Castro RA et al. Effect of genetic variation in the organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) on metformin action. J Clin Invest 2007; 117(5):1422-1431.
- (338) Gambineri A, Tomassoni F, Gasparini DI, Di Rocco A, Mantovani V, Pagotto U et al. Organic Cation Transporter 1 Polymorphisms Predict the Metabolic Response to Metformin in Women with the Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010; 95(10):E204-E208.
- (339) Segal ED, Yasmeen A, Beauchamp MC, Rosenblatt J, Pollak M, Gotlieb WH. Relevance of the OCT1 transporter to the antineoplastic effect of biguanides. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2011; 414(4):694-699.
- (340) Song IS, Shin HJ, Shin JG. Genetic variants of organic cation transporter 2 (OCT2) significantly reduce metformin uptake in oocytes. Xenobiotica 2008; 38(9):1252-1262.
- (341) Zhuang Y, Miskimins K. Metformin Induces Both Caspase-Dependent and Poly(ADP-ribose) Polymerase-Dependent Cell Death in Breast Cancer Cells. Molecular Cancer Research 2011.
- (342) Tang YC, Williams BR, Siegel JJ, Amon A. Identification of Aneuploidy-Selective Antiproliferation Compounds. Cell 144[4], 499-512. 18-2-2011. Ref Type: Abstract
- (343) Oliveras-Ferraros C, Vazquez-Martin A, Menendez JA. Genome-wide inhibitory impact of the AMPK activator metformin on [kinesins, tubulins, histones, auroras and polo-like kinases] M-phase cell cycle genes in human breast cancer cells. Cell Cycle 2009; 8(10):1633-1636.
- (344) Yang N, Coukos G, Zhang L. MicroRNA epigenetic alterations in human cancer: one step forward in diagnosis and treatment. Int J Cancer 2008; 122(5):963-968.
- (345) Sharma S, Kelly TK, Jones PA. Epigenetics in cancer. Carcinogenesis 2010; 31(1):27-36.
- (346) Chuang JC, Jones PA. Epigenetics and MicroRNAs. [Miscellaneous Article]. Pediatric Research 2007; 61(5, Part 2):24R-29R.
- (347) Ventura A, Jacks T. MicroRNAs and cancer: short RNAs go a long way. Cell 2009; 136(4):586-591.
- (348) Hannafon B, Sebastiani P, de las Morenas A, Lu J, Rosenberg C. Expression of microRNAs and their gene targets are dysregulated in pre-invasive breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research 2011; 13(2):R24.

- (349) Yuan Y, Zeng ZY, Liu XH, Gong DJ, Tao J, Cheng HZ et al. MicroRNA-203 inhibits cell proliferation by repressing DeltaNp63 expression in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. BMC Cancer 2011; 11(1):57.
- (350) Oliveras-Ferraros C, Cufi S, Vazquez-Martin A, Torres-Garcia VZ, del BS, Martin-Castillo B et al. Micro(mi)RNA expression profile of breast cancer epithelial cells treated with the anti-diabetic drug metformin: Induction of the tumor suppressor miRNA let-7a and suppression of the TGFbeta-induced oncomiR miRNA-181a. Cell Cycle 2011; 10(7).
- (351) Huang Y, Greene E, Murray Stewart T, Goodwin AC, Baylin SB, Woster PM et al. Inhibition of lysine -specific demethylase 1 by polyamine analogues results in reexpression of aberrantly silenced genes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2007; 104(19):8023-8028.
- (352) Schulte JH, Lim S, Schramm A, Friedri chs N, Koster J, Versteeg R et al. Lysine -Specific Demethylase 1 Is Strongly Expressed in Poorly Differentiated Neuroblastoma: Implications for Therapy. Cancer Res 2009; 69(5):2065-2071.
- (353) Memmott RM, Mercado JR, Maier CR, Kawabata S, Fox SD, Dennis PA. Metformin Prevents Tobacco Carcinogen–Induced Lung Tumorigenesis. Cancer Prev Res 2010; 3(9):1066-1076.
- (354) Protti A, Russo R, Tagliabue P, Vecchio S, Singer M, Rudiger A et al. Oxygen consumption is depressed in patients with lactic acidosis due to biguanide intoxication. Crit Care 2010; 14(1):R22.
- (355) Hawley SA, Ross FA, Chevtzoff C, Green KA, Evans A, Fogarty S et al. Use of Cells Expressing γ Subunit Variants to Identify Diverse Mechanisms of AMPK Activation. Cell metabolism 11[6], 554-565. 9-6-2010. Ref Type: Abstract
- (356) Corton JM, Gillespie JG, Hawley SA, Hardie DG. 5-Aminoimidazole-4-Carboxamide Ribonucleoside. European Journal of Biochemistry 1995; 229(2):558-565.
- (357) Dufer M, Noack K, Krippeit-Drews P, Drews G. Activation of the AMP-activated protein kinase enhances glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in mouse beta-cells. Islets 2010; 2(3):156-163.
- (358) Guigas B, Bertrand L, Taleux N, Foretz M, Wiernsperger N, Vertommen D et al. 5-Aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-beta-D-ribofuranoside and metformin inhibit hepatic glucose phosphorylation by an AMP-activated protein kinase-independent effect on glucokinase translocation. Diabetes 2006; 55:865-874.
- (359) Williams T, Courchet J, Viollet B, Brenman JE, Polleux F. AMP -activated protein kinase (AMPK) activity is not required for neuronal development but regulates axogenesis during metabolic stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011.
- (360) Yeh CH, Chen TP, Wang YC, Lin YM, Fang SW. AMP-activated protein kinase activation during cardioplegia induced hypoxia/reoxygenation injury attenuates cardiomyocytic apoptosis via reduction of endoplasmic reticulum stress. Mediators Inflamm 2010; 2010:130636.

- (361) Meisse D, Van de Casteele M, Beauloye C, Hainault I, Kefas BA, Rider MH et al. Sustained activation of AMP-activated protein kinase induces c-Jun N-terminal kinase activation and apoptosis in liver cells. FEBS Letters 2002; 526(1-3):38-42.
- (362) Lee KH, Hsu EC, Guh JH, Yang HC, Wang D, Kulp SK et al. Targeting energy metabolic and oncogenic signaling pathways in triple-negative breast cancer cells by a novel AMPK activator. J Biol Chem 2011.
- (363) Koh M, Lee JC, Min C, Moon A. A novel metformin derivative, HL010183, inhibits proliferation and invasion of triple-negative breast cancer cells. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry 2013; 21(8):2305-2313.
- (364) Nagalingam A, Arbiser J, Bonner M, Saxena N, Sharma D. Honokiol activates AMP activated protein kinase in breast cancer cells via LKB1-dependent pathway and inhibits breast carcinogenesis. Breast Cancer Research 2012; 14(1):R35.
- (365) Hernández-Díaz S, Adami HO. Diabetes therapy and cancer risk: causal effects and other plausible explanations. Diabetologia 2010; 53(5):802-808.
- (366) Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI, Trudeau ME, Koo J, Madarnas Y et al. Fasting Insulin and Outcome in Early-Stage Breast Cancer: Results of a Prospective Cohort Study. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20(1):42-51.
- (367) Berstein LM. Metformin, insulin, breast cancer and more. Future Oncology 2009; 5(3):309-312.
- (368) De Leo V, la Marca A, Orvieto R, Morgante G. Effect of Metformin on Insulin-Like Growth Factor (IGF) I and IGF-Binding Protein I in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000; 85(4):1598-1600.
- (369) MacKenzie M, Ernst S, Johnson C, Winquist E. A phase I study of temsirolimus and metformin in advanced solid tumours. Investigational New Drugs 2010;1-6.
- (370) Song NN, Li QS, Liu CX. Intestinal permeability of metformin using single-pass intestinal perfusion in rats. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12(25):4064-4070.
- (371) Lee MS, Hsu CC, Wahlqvist M, Tsai HN, Chang YH, Huang YC. Type 2 diabetes increases and metformin reduces total, colorectal, liver and pancreatic cancer incidences in Taiwanese: a representative population prospective cohort study of 800,000 individuals. BMC Cancer 2011; 11(1):20.
- (372) Vazquez-Martin A, Oliveras-Ferraros C, Del Barco S, Martin-Castillo B, Menendez JA. If Mammalian Target of Metformin Indirectly Is Mammalian Target of Rapamycin, Then the Insulin-Like Growth Factor-1 Receptor Axis Will Audit the Efficacy of Metformin in Cancer Clinical Trials. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27(33):e207-e209.
- (373) Memmott RM, Dennis PA. LKB1 and Mammalian Target of Rapamycin As Predictive Factors for the Anticancer Efficacy of Metformin. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27(34):e226.

- (374) Marchio C, Dowsett M, Reis-Filho J. Revisiting the technical validation of tumour biomarker assays: How to open a Pandora's box. BMC Medicine 2011; 9(1):41.
- (375) Algire C, Amrein L, Bazile M, David S, Zakikhani M, Pollak M. Diet and tumor LKB1 expression interact to determine sensitivity to anti-neoplastic effects of metformin in vivo. Oncogene 2010.
- (376) Lea MA, Chacko J, Bolikal S, Hong JY, Chumg R, Ortega A et al. Addition of 2-Deoxyglucose Enhances Growth Inhibition But Reverses Acidification in Colon Cancer Cells Treated with Phenformin. Anticancer Research 2011; 31(2):421-426.
- (377) Cheong JH, Park ES, Liang J, Dennison JB, Tsavachidou D, Nguyen Charles C et al. Dual inhibition of Tumor Energy Pathway by 2-deoxy glucose and metformin Is Effective Against a Broad Spectrum of Preclinical Cancer Models. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics 2011.
- (378) Rattan R, Graham RP, Maguire JL, Giri S, Shridhar V. Metformin suppresses ovarian cancer growth and metastasis with enhancement of Cisplatin cytotoxicity in vivo. Neoplasia 2011; 13(5):483-491.
- (379) Nilsson S, Huelsenbeck J, Fritz G. Mevalonate pathway inhibitors affect anticancer druginduced cell death and DNA damage response of human sarcoma cells. Cancer Lett 2011; 304(1):60-69.
- (380) Harhaji-Trajkovic L, Vilimanovich U, Kravic-Stevovic T, Bumbasirevic V, Trajkovic V. AMPKmediated autophagy inhibits apoptosis in cisplatin-treated tumour cells. J Cell Mol Med 2009; 13(9B):3644-3654.
- (381) Rocha GZ, Dias MM, Ropelle ER, Osorio-Costa F, Rossato FA, Vercesi AE et al. Metformin amplifies chemotherapy-induced AMPK activation and antitumoral growth. Clin Cancer Res 2011.
- (382) Iliopoulos D, Hirsch HA, Struhl K. Metformin decreases the dose of chemotherapy for prolonging tumor remission in mouse xenografts involving multiple cancer cell types. Cancer Res 2011.
- (383) Apontes P, Leontieva OV, Demidenko ZN, Li F, Blagosklonny MV. Exploring long-term protection of normal human fibroblasts and epi thelial cells from chemotherapy in cell culture. Oncotarget 2011.
- (384) Bolen S, Feldman L, Vassy J, Wilson L, Yeh HC, Marinopoulos S et al. Systematic Review: Comparative Effectiveness and Safety of Oral Medications for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Ann Intern Med 2007; 147(6):386-399.
- (385) Li XJ, Yu YX, Liu CQ, Zhang W, Zhang HJ, Yan B et al. Metformin vs thiazolidinediones for treatment of clinical, hormonal and metabolic characteristics of polycystic ovary syndrome: a meta-analysis. Clinical Endocrinology 2011; 74(3):332-339.

- (386) Cubeddu LX, Bonisch H, Gothert M, Molderings G, Racke K, Ramadori G et al. Effects of metformin on intestinal 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) release and on 5-HT3 receptors. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 2000; 361(1):85-91.
- (387) de Jager J, Kooy A, Lehert P, Wulffele MG, van der Kolk J, Bets D et al. Long term treatment with metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes and risk of vitamin B-12 deficiency: randomised placebo controlled trial. BMJ 2010; 340(may19_4):c2181.
- (388) Mallick S. Metformin induced acute pancreatitis precipitated by renal failure. Postgraduate Medical Journal 2004; 80(942):239-240.
- (389) Bryant SM, Cumpston K, Lipsky MS, Patel N, Leikin JB. Metformin-associated respiratory alkalosis. Am J Ther 2004; 11(3):236-237.
- (390) Oride A, Kanasaki H, Purwana I, Miyazaki K. Effects of metformin administration on plasma gonadotropin levels in women with infertility, with an in vitro study of the direct effects on the pituitary gonadotrophs. Pituitary 2010; 13(3):236-241.
- (391) Xing XY, Li YF, Fu ZD, Chen YY, Wang YF, Liu XL et al. [Antihypertensive effect of metformin in essential hypertensive patients with hyperinsulinemia.]. Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi 2010; 49(1):14-18.
- (392) Melemedjian OK, Asiedu MN, Tillu DV, Sanoja R, Yan J, Lark A et al. Targeting adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in preclinical models reveals a potential mechanism for the treatment of neuropathic pain. Mol Pain 2011; 7(1):70.
- (393) Burdall S, Hanby A, Lansdown M, Speirs V. Breast cancer cell lines: friend or foe? Breast Cancer Res 2003; 5(2):89-95.
- (394) Phoenix KN, Vumbaca F, Claffey KP. Therapeutic metformin/AMPK activation promotes the angiogenic phenotype in the ERalpha negative MDA-MB-435 breast cancer model. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2008.
- (395) Alimirah F, Chen J, Basrawala Z, Xin H, Choubey D. DU-145 and PC-3 human prostate cancer cell lines express androgen receptor: Implications for the androgen receptor functions and regulation. FEBS Letters 2006; 580(9):2294-2300.
- (396) David-Beabes GL, Overman MJ, Petrofski JA, Campbell PA, de Marzo AM, Nelson WG. Doxorubicin-resistant variants of human prostate cancer cell lines DU 145, PC-3, PPC-1, and TSU-PR1: characterization of biochemical determinants of antineoplastic drug sensitivity. Int J Oncol 2000; 17(6):1077-1086.
- (397) Spiotto MT, Chung TDK. STAT3 mediates IL-6-induced growth inhibition in the human prostate cancer cell line LNCaP. Prostate 2000; 42(2):88-98.
- (398) Polek TC, Stewart LV, Ryu EJ, Cohen MB, Allegretto EA, Weigel NL. p53 Is Required for 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3-Induced G0 Arrest But Is Not Required for G1 Accumulation or Apoptosis of LNCaP Prostate Cancer Cells. Endocrinology 2003; 144(1):50-60.

- (399) Kim MS, Kim SH, Kim HJ, Hoang IN, Oh WM, Koh JT et al. Characterization of the TSU-PR1 cell line by chromosome painting and flow cytometry. Cancer genetics and cytogenetics 163[1], 17-22. 1-11-2005. Ref Type: Abstract
- (400) Cree IA, Glaysher S, Harvey AL. Efficacy of anti-cancer agents in cell lines versus human primary tumour tissue. Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2010; 10(4):375-379.
- (401) Gold LS, Slone TH, Ames BN. What do animal cancer tests tell us about human cancer risk?: Overview of analyses of the carcinogenic potency database. Drug Metab Rev 1998; 30(2):359-404.
- (402) Vaillant F, Lindeman G, Visvader J. Jekyll or Hyde: does Matrigel provide a more or less physiological environment in mammary repopulating assays? Breast Cancer Research 2011; 13(3):108.